arXiv:1611.01615v1 [math.MG] 5 Nov 2016

AN EXAMPLE OF A DIFFERENTIABILITY SPACE WHICH IS PI-UNRECTIFIABLE

ANDREA SCHIOPPA

Abstract. We construct a (Lipschitz) differentiability space which has at generic points a disconnected tangent and thus does not contain positive measure subsets isometric to positive measure subsets of spaces admitting a Poincaré inequality. We also prove that l^2-valued Lipschitz maps are differentiable a.e., but there are also Lipschitz maps taking values in some other Banach spaces having the Radon-Nikodym property which fail to be differentiable on sets of positive measure.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background Material 3
3. The inverse limit system 6
4. Differentiability of real-valued Lipschitz maps 17
5. Differentiability of Hilbert-valued Lipschitz maps 27
References 29

1. Introduction

1.1. **Overview.** This paper deals with the foundations of first-order calculus in metric measure spaces. In this work we empirically address the question of whether a Poincaré inequality is needed, at the infinitesimal level, to have a Rademacher-like Theorem on the a.e. differentiability of Lipschitz functions. These results were announced in [Sch15].

In the seminal [HK98] Heinonen and Koskela introduced the notion of PI-spaces, i.e. a class of metric measure spaces which satisfy an abstract version of the Poincaré inequality. In the remarkable [Che99] Cheeger proved that in PI-spaces it is possible to develop first-order calculus; specifically he proved a version of Rademacher's Theorem on the a.e. differentiability of real-valued Lipschitz functions. Later [CK09] Cheeger and Kleiner were even able, for PI-spaces, to prove the a.e. differentiability of Lipschitz functions which take value in Banach spaces having the Radon-Nikodym property.

In [Kei04] Keith introduced an analytic condition, the **Lip-lip inequality** (later shown to self-improve to an equality) and used it to prove a Rademacher Theorem on the a.e. differentiability of real-valued Lipschitz functions; the spaces satisfying

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C23, 58C20.
Key words and phrases. differentiation, Lipschitz functions, harmonic functions.

the conclusion of the differentiability theorem of Keith will be called here **differentiability spaces** (the structure was named by Keith *(strong) measurable differentiable structure*, but had been actually singled out without giving it a name by Cheeger in [Che99]).

Keith claimed to have generalized Cheeger's result. From the technical standpoint his claim was factual: the Lip-lip inequality appears to be a weaker condition than the Poincaré inequality and so his argument his more general than the one given in [Che99]. However, his claim was not supported by *empirical evidence*: to the best of our knowledge all known examples of differentiability spaces are **PI-rectifiable**; i.e. they can be decomposed into a countable union of positive-measure subsets of PI-spaces.

In the last five years there has been a surge of work on differentiability spaces: [BS13, Bat15, Sch16a, Sch16b, CKS16, BL15, Eri16]. Despite this theoretical progress there is a substantial gap between the theory and the structural properties exhibited by known examples. This has led to the following question [CKS16] (here rephrased as a conjecture); disclaimer: to the best of my knowledge [CKS16] is the first place where the question has been written down; I learnt it from Bruce Kleiner, but it is also possible to have been considered before by others, for example in [Hei07] Heinonen said it was important to understand the conditions needed to have a Rademacher Theorem.

> **(ConjPIRect):** Any differentiability space is PI-rectifiable; in particular a.e. its tangents/blow-ups are PI-spaces.

The **(ConjPIRect)** has been recently proved in the beautiful [Eri16] *under the additional assumption* that Lipschitz functions taking values in Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodym property are differentiable. In this paper our goal is to *disprove* **(ConjPIRect)**.

1.2. **The Result.** In this paper we construct an example of a metric measure space (X_∞, μ_∞) such that:

> **(TritanopeExa):** Any Lipschitz map $f : X_\infty \to l^2$ is differentiable μ_∞-a.e., but (X_∞, μ_∞) is PI-unrectifiable; moreover at μ_∞-a.e. point it has *a* tangent which is *not* topologically connected.

The fact that at μ_∞-a.e. there is a topologically disconnected tangent is Theorem 3.57; this immediately implies that X_∞ cannot contain a positive measure subset S of a PI-space: at μ_∞-a.e. $p \in S$ the tangents of X_∞ would then be PI-spaces, which are known [Che99] to be quasi-convex and hence connected.

For expository reason we first prove the μ_∞-a.e. differentiability of real-valued Lipschitz functions, Theorem 4.56, and reserve the more technical details for l^2-valued maps to Theorem 5.11.

1.3. **Outline.** X_∞ is constucted as an **inverse limit system**. The basic operation is similar to Example 1.2 of [CK13b] (see [LP01] for the metric properties of the space and [CK13a]for the proof the Poincaré inequality) or the *Laaksofolds* of [LS11]. Here we essentially double a 3-dimensional cell generating a diamond-like space, Construction 3.1. However we do *not* take the path metric, but *squeeze* closely the centers of the two cells: this destroys the connectedness of some tangents.

The proof of differentiability requires new ideas as the usual arguments [Fed69, # 3.1.6] or[CK09, BL15] require joining pairs of points by quasi-geodesics and constructing the derivative on these curves. Our argument is *functional*: we show that

if $f : X_\infty \to l^2$ is Lipschitz, it must *eventually* collapse the centers of the doubled cells faster than they are separated in the ambient space, compare Theorems 4.30 and 5.8. This collapsing argument is based on some elementary PDE, see Lemmas 4.6 and 5.1 and might be regarded as a *tail-recursive* version of quantitative differentiation, see [Che12].

1.4. **Questions.** Here are some questions that hopefully can give the reader some food for thought.

- **(Q1):** Our example has **analytic dimension** 3, i.e. the gradient has three components. The techniques of the forthcoming [Sch] suggest that one can (with a lot of technical overhead) modify this example to get analytic dimension 1. However, at the moment we only have examples where the Assouad-Nagata dimension [LS05] is 3, can it be lowered to 1?
- **(Q2):** What is the relationship between the μ_∞-a.e. differentiability for l^1 and l^2-valued maps?

Note by [BL15] there are a Banach space B having the Radon-Nikodym property and a non-a.e. differentiable Lipschitz map $f : X_\infty \to B$. As remarked in [Sch16b] the construction in [BL15] can be slightly improved to yield non-differentiability in a canonical Banach space having the Radon-Nikodym property:

$$\mathrm{Sem} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n=1\\ l^1}}^{\infty} l_n^\infty, \tag{1.1}$$

the l^1-sum of copies of $\mathbb{R}^n$, with the l^∞-norm, whose dimension progressively increases to ∞. Thus differentiability in Sem is stronger than in l^2, and **(Q2)** asks how l^1 stands compared to l^2.

Notational conventions. We use the convention $a \simeq b$ to say that $a/b, b/a \in [C^{-1}, C]$ where C is a universal constant; we similarly use notations like $a \lesssim b$ or $a \gtrsim b$. The notation $\mathcal{H}^k$ stands for the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure and $\mathcal{L}^k$ for the k-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Given a map $f : X \to Y$ and a measure μ on X, $f_\# \mu$ denotes the push-forward of μ to a measure on Y; finally $\fint_A g \, d\mu$ denotes the average of g on A: $\int_A g \, d\mu / \mu(A)$.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Urs Lang and Kevin Payne for discussions on quantitative differentiation. This is work is based on numerical experiments on computing asymptotical distributions of sums of Jones' β-numbers for randomly sampled Lipschitz functions carried out in Python and R. I acknowledge use of the packages **ggplot2** ([Wic09]) and **seaborn** (built on top of [Hun07], `https://github.com/mwaskom/seaborn`). The author was supported by the "ETH Zurich Postdoctoral Fellowship Program and the Marie Curie Actions for People COFUND Program"

2. Background Material

2.1. **Functions and Spaces.**

Definition 2.1 (Lipschitz map)**.** Given a Lipschitz map $f : X \to Z$ between the metric spaces X and Z, we let $\mathbf{L}(f)$ denote its global Lipschitz constant. To extract

local information at x on the Lipschitz constant we use the **big and small** Lipschitz constants:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Lip} f(x) &= \limsup_{r \searrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} d_Z(f(x), f(y)) \\ \mathrm{lip} f(x) &= \liminf_{r \searrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} d_Z(f(x), f(y)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.3 (l^2-valued harmonic functions)**.** Given $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to l^2$ we say that it is **harmonic** if each component u_j is harmonic. Given enough regularity on $\partial\Omega$ (e.g. $\partial\Omega$ is locally a Lipschitz graph), given a boundary condition $f : \partial\Omega \to l^2$, we can find a harmonic extension $u : \Omega \to l^2$: take a harmonic extension u_j of each component f_j of f, then observe that for each n:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j^2 \tag{2.4}$$

is subharmonic and apply the maximum principle to conclude that if we set $u = (u_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ then u is l^2-valued.

Definition 2.5 (Inverse Limit Systems)**.** Let $(X_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of compact metric spaces with a uniform bound on their diameters:

$$\sup_n \operatorname{diam} X_n < \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

and assume that there are surjective 1-Lipschitz maps $\pi_{n+1,n} : X_{n+1} \to X_n$; then the inverse limit X_∞ of $(X_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ consists of all the sequences $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\pi_{n+1,n}(x_{n+1}) = x_n$, and where the metric is defined by:

$$d_{X_\infty}(x_\infty, y_\infty) = \limsup_{n \nearrow \infty} d_{X_n}(x_n, y_n). \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, in this case we obtain a 1-Lipschitz $\pi_{\infty,n} : X_\infty \to X_n$ just letting $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \mapsto x_n$.

It is useful to get conditions under which X_∞ is also the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of the X_n, compare [CK13b, CK13a]. In this work it suffices to consider the following condition which just says that the $\pi_{\infty,n}$ give the desired Gromov-Hausdorff approximations:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in X_n} \operatorname{diam} \pi_{\infty,n}^{-1}(x) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Assume now that on each X_n we have a Radon measure μ_n and that $\pi_{n+1,n\#}\mu_{n+1} = \mu_n$ and that (2.8) holds. Then a standard compactness argument yields a Radon measure μ_∞ on X_∞ such that $\pi_{\infty,n\#}\mu_\infty = \mu_n$ and (X_n, μ_n) converges to (X_∞, μ_∞) in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense. For a more general treatment of inverse limit systems of measure spaces we refer to [Cho58].

Definition 2.9 (Tangents/blow-ups)**.** Let X be a metric space and $p \in X$. **A tangent/blow-up** of X at p is a pointed metric space (Y, q) which is the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff limit (you can see [Sch16b, BBI01] for a review of the basic properties of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence) of a sequence $(\frac{1}{r_n}X, p)$ where $r_n \searrow 0$ and $\frac{1}{r_n}X$ denotes the metric space X with the rescaled metric:

$$d_{\frac{1}{r_n}X}(x, y) = \frac{1}{r_n} d_X(x, y). \tag{2.10}$$

If μ is a Radon measure on X, a **measured** tangent/blow-up at p is a pointed metric measure space (Y, q, ν) such that $(\frac{1}{r_n}X, p, \mu/\mu(B_X(p, r_n)))$ converges to (Y, q, ν) in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense.

2.2. **Differentiability Spaces.** We start with a brief review of differentiability spaces. For more details we refer to the original papers [Che99, Kei04] or to the nice expository paper [KM11]. This structure has several names in the literature: *(strong) measurable differentiabile structure, differentiable structure (in the sense of Cheeger and Keith), Lipschitz differentiability space, differentiability space*. We highlight the features of differentiability spaces; contrary to some earlier papers, we do not assume a uniform bound on the dimension of the charts.

Definition 2.11. Let (X, μ) be a metric measure space; we say that X is a **differentiability space** if:

(DiffChart): There is a countable collection of charts $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_\alpha$, where $U_\alpha \subset X$ is Borel and $\phi_\alpha : X \to \mathbb{R}^{N_\alpha}$ is Lipschitz, such that $X \setminus (\cup_\alpha U_\alpha)$ is μ-null, and each real-valued Lipschitz function f admits a first order Taylor expansion with respect to the components of ϕ_α at generic points of U_α, i.e. there are (a.e. unique) measurable functions $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi^i_\alpha}$ on U_α such that:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi^i_\alpha}(x_0)\left(\phi^i_\alpha(x) - \phi^i_\alpha(x_0)\right) + o\left(d(x, x_0)\right) \tag{2.12}$$

(for μ-a.e. $x_0 \in U_\alpha$).

Equivalently:

$$\mathrm{Lip}\left(f - \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi^i_\alpha}(x_0), \phi^i_\alpha \rangle\right)(x_0) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

The integer N_α is the **dimension** of the chart $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_\alpha$, and depends only on the set U_α, not on the particular choice of the coordinate functions ϕ_α. If $\sup_\alpha N_\alpha < \infty$, it is called **the differentiability or the analytic dimension**. Note that $\{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi^i_\alpha}\}_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}$ are the components of the gradient ∇f with respect to the coordinate system $\{\phi^i_\alpha\}_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}$.

By [Che99] to each differentiability space there are associated measurable cotangent and tangent bundles T^*X and TX; having locally trivialized T^*X and TX, forms in T^*X correspond to differentials of Lipschitz functions, and vectors in TX give rise to differential operators called derivations [Wea00, Sch16a].

We now restate **(ConjPIRect)** in a more formal way.

(ConjPIRect): Let (X, μ) be a differentiability space. Then there is a countable decomposition $X = \bigcup_i S_i \cup \Omega$ where $\mu(\Omega) = 0$ and there are isometric embeddings $f_i : S_i \to Y_i$ and measures ν_i on the spaces Y_i such that $f_{i\#}\mu \llcorner S_i = \nu_i \llcorner f_i(S_i)$ and such that each (Y_i, ν_i) is a PI-space.

Following Bate and Li [BL15] we define RNP-differentiability.

Definition 2.14 (RNP-differentiability)**.** An **RNP-differentiability space** is a differentiability space where (2.12) and (2.13) hold also for any Lipschitz $f : X \to B$ where B is a Banach space having the Radon-Nikodym property.

Theorem 2.15 (Summary of results on differentiability spaces)**.** *This list summarizes relevant results on differentiability spaces:*

(Cheeger): [Che99]*; if (X,μ) is a PI-space then (X,μ) is a differentiability space whose analytic dimension is bounded by an expression that depends only on the doubling constant C_μ of μ and the constants that appear in the Poincaré inequality. Moreover, for each real-valued Lipschitz function f one has* $\mathrm{Lip} f = \mathrm{lip} f$ *μ-a.e.*

(Keith): [Kei04]*; assume that (X,μ) is a doubling metric measure space which satisfies the* ***Lip-lip inequality****: there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for each real-valued Lipschitz function f one has* $\mathrm{Lip} f \leq C \mathrm{lip} f$ *μ-a.e. Then (X,μ) is a differentiability space whose analytic dimension is bounded by an expression that depends only on C_μ and C.*

(Cheeger-Kleiner): [CK09]*; any PI-space is an RNP-differentiability space.*

(Bate–Speight): [BS13]*; if (X,μ) is a differentiability space then μ is asymptotically doubling in the sense that for μ-a.e. x there are $(C_x, r_x) \in (0,\infty)^2$ such that:*

$$\mu\left(B(x,2r)\right) \leq C_x \mu\left(B(x,r)\right) \quad (r \leq r_x). \tag{2.16}$$

(Bate): [Bat15]*; any differentiability space (X,μ) can be decomposed, up to throwing away a null-set, into a countable union of positive measure subsets S_i such that for each $(S_i, \mu \llcorner S_i)$ the Lip-lip inequality holds with a constant $C_i = C(S_i)$.*

(Schioppa): [Sch16a, Sch16b] *A metric measure space (X,μ) is a differentiability space if and only if it satisfies the* ***Lip-lip equality****: given any real-valued Lipschitz function f one has* $\mathrm{Lip} f = \mathrm{lip} f$ *μ-a.e. Moreover, at μ-a.e. $p \in X$ all the measured tangents at p are differentiability spaces.*

(Cheeger-Kleiner-Schioppa): [CKS16]*; for differentiability spaces a version of metric differentiation* [Amb90, Kir94, AK00] *holds.*

(Bate-Li): [BL15]*; if (X,μ) is an RNP-differentiability space at μ-a.e. $p \in X$ the measured tangents of X satisfy a* non-homogeneous *Poincaré inequality.*

(Ericksson-Bique): [Eri16]*; RNP-differentiability spaces are PI-rectifiable.*

3. The inverse limit system

In this section we focus on the metric measure properties of the example. We first introduce the building blocks of the construction, the non-quasiconvex diamonds, Construction 3.1, and then describe the inverse limit system, Construction 3.5. We then describe the shape of balls, prove that the measures are doubling, Lemma 3.36, and construct the horizontal gradient, Definition 3.41. We also introduce a way to discretize balls, the fundamental configuration of Definition 3.32 which is used in the proof of differentiability. Then we construct horizontal paths with jumps, Lemma 3.50, that connect a point at the center of a ball with points in the fundamental configuration: these paths are a key construction for proving differentiability. The proof of differentiability will consist in controlling the variation of a Lipschitz function in terms of two pieces: (1) the horizontal gradient on the paths, and (2) a "collapsing factor" on the jumps. Finally, we prove the existence of tangents which are not topologically connected, Theorem 3.57.

3.1. Building blocks.

Construction 3.1 (Non-quasiconvex diamonds)**.** Let $[0,1]^3$ be the standard cube with the Euclidean metric d_{Euc} and $K = [1/2 - 1/6, 1/2 + 1/6]^3$; fix an integer $n \geq 26$ and replace the subcube K with two isometric copies K_1, K_2 glued along

the boundary ∂K. Let $A = [0,1]^3 \setminus K$ and note that both the spaces $A \cup K_1$ and $A \cup K_2$ are isometric to $[0,1]^3$.

As set, define $\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3) = A \cup K_1 \cup K_2$. Let c_i denote the center of K_i: as metric $d_{\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)}$ we take the largest metric which agrees with d_{Euc} on each $A \cup K_1$, $A \cup K_2$ and such that:

$$d_{\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)}(c_1, c_2) = \frac{1}{4n}. \tag{3.2}$$

A more concrete description of $d_{\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)}$ can be obtained as follows. First define a symmetric function $\varrho : \mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3) \times \mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3) \to [0,\infty]$:

$$\varrho(p,q) = \begin{cases} d_{\mathrm{Euc}}(p,q) & \text{if } \{p,q\} \subset A \cup K_1 \text{ or } \{p,q\} \subset A \cup K_2 \\ \frac{1}{4n} & \text{if } \{p,q\} = \{c_1, c_2\} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Given $p, q \in \mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)$ a **chain joining** p to q is a finite tuple $(p_0, \cdots p_N)$ with $p_0 = p$, $p_N = q$; then:

$$d_{\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)}(p,q) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varrho(p_i, p_{i+1}) : (p_0, \cdots p_N) \text{ joins } p \text{ to } q \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.4) it follows that the map $\pi : \mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3) \to [0,1]^3$ which collapses the two copies K_1, K_2 together is 1-Lipschitz.

We now induce a cube-complex structure on $\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)$; choose $N = N(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if one subdivides $[0,1]$ into $2N+1$ intervals of the same length, this length lies in $[1/(128n), 1/(32n)]$, and such that $2N+1$ is divisible by 3. Taking products of these intervals we obtain a cube-complex structure on $[0,1]^3$ where they all have the same side length. Moreover, one such cube, call it $\tilde{K}_N$, is centered at $(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$. As $2N+1$ is divisible by 3, this cube-complex structure is compatible with the doubling operation we applied to K, i.e. the boundary of K will consist of 2-cells and we can induce a cube-complex structure on $\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)$ by adding the requirement that π is open and cellular.

Note that $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{K}_N) = \tilde{K}_{N,1} \cup \tilde{K}_{N,2}$, the two cubes being centered at c_1, c_2 respectively. We will call these cubes the **gates**, and let $\mathrm{Gates}([0,1]^3) = \{\tilde{K}_{N,1}, \tilde{K}_{N,2}\}$.

Now to each copy of K we attach a color, say K_1 is green and K_2 is red. We will then have a green gate $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}([0,1]^3)$ and a red gate $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}([0,1]^3)$. Similarly, we have two copies $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2\}$ of $[0,1]^3$ inside $\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)$ depending on wether we choose green or red for the cover of K: we will call these the **chromatic sheets**, and let $\mathrm{Chr}([0,1]^3) = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2\}$. Finally let the **cover sheets** $\mathrm{Cov}([0,1]^3) = \{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2\}$ be the same as $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2\}$ (chromatic and cover sheets will differ at the next iterations of the construction).

Finally, we let $\mathrm{Jpp}([0,1]^3) = \{(c_1, c_2)\}$ which we call the **jump pair** of $\mathrm{Dym}_n([0,1]^3)$.

The construction described so far will be called the **nqc-diamond** on $[0,1]^3$ with parameter n. We can extend this construction to each cube T, obtaining $\mathrm{Dym}_n(T)$: just take a similarity and a translation that identify T with $[0,1]^3$, perform the above construction, and then scale back the metric so that $\mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{Dym}_n(T)) = \mathrm{diam}(T)$.

Given a measure μ_T on T which is a sum of multiples of the Lebesgue measure on the 3-dimensional cells $\mathrm{Cell}(T)$ of T, there is a naturally induced measure $\mu_{\mathrm{Dym}_n(T)}$ on $\mathrm{Dym}_n(T)$ such that $\pi_{\#}\mu_{\mathrm{Dym}_n(T)} = \mu_T$, which is obtained by splitting in $1/2$ the measure across pairs of cells of $\mathrm{Cell}(\mathrm{Dym}_n(T))$ that π maps to the same cell of T.

Construction 3.5 (Construction of the inverse limit system)**.** Let $n_0 \geq 100$ to be determined later, set $X_0 = [0,1]^3$ with the standard Euclidean distance and Lebesgue measure $\mu_{X_0} = \mathcal{L}^3 \llcorner [0,1]^3$. For each integer k let $n_k = n_0 + k$ and let $\bar{n}_0 = 0$, $\bar{n}_1 = n_1^3$, $\bar{n}_k = \sum_{j \leq k} n_j^3$.

`Step 1: The construction of` $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{n_1^3}$.

We simply let $X_1 = \mathrm{Dym}_{n_1}(X_0)$ and let $\pi_{1,0} : X_1 \to X_0$ be the map π as in Construction 3.1 and μ_{X_1} the corresponding measure. Let $\mathrm{slen}(X_1)$ denote the common length of the sides of the elements of $\mathrm{Cell}(X_1)$. Set $\mathrm{ToDouble}(X_0) = [0,1]^3$.

To obtain X_2, let $\mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1) = \mathrm{Cell}(X_1) \setminus \mathrm{Gates}(X_1)$ and for each $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1)$, replace it with $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_1}(Q)$; on the other hand, subdivide each $Q \in \mathrm{Gates}(X_1)$ into smaller subcubes so that all the cells of $\mathrm{Cell}(X_2)$ have the same side-length $\mathrm{slen}(X_2)$; the set of the cells of X_1 which were only subdivided will be denoted by $\mathrm{Subdiv}(X_1)$. Combining the maps $\pi_Q : \mathrm{Dym}_{n_1}(Q) \to Q$ for $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1)$ and the identity for $Q \in \mathrm{Subdiv}(X_1)$, we get a map $\pi_{2,1} : X_2 \to X_1$; as in Construction 3.1 we also obtain a measure μ_{X_2} with $\pi_{2,1\#}\mu_{X_2} = \mu_{X_1}$. Note that μ_{X_2} is a multiple of Lebesgue measure on each element of $\mathrm{Cell}(X_2)$.

We now turn to a description of the metric d_{X_2} of X_2 by introducing the **chromatic sheets** $\mathrm{Chr}(X_2)$ and the **cover sheets** $\mathrm{Cov}(X_2)$. Take X_1: we can lift it to X_2 by choosing for each $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1)$ either the green or the red lift in the construction of $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_1}(Q)$; the set of all possible lifts of X_1 is $\mathrm{Cov}(X_2)$ and we have:

$$\#\mathrm{Cov}(X_2) = 2^{\#\{Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1)\}}. \tag{3.6}$$

For the moment note that we want d_{X_2} so that for each $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Cov}(X_2)$ one has that $\pi_{2,1} : \mathcal{B} \to X_1$ is an isometry. We now want to lift the chromatic sheets $\mathrm{Chr}(X_1)$: this time for any lift $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ we are always choosing, across all $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1)$ the *same* color, either green or red. In particular, the set of all chromatic sheets $\mathrm{Chr}(X_2)$ consists of 4 elements, which we can label (green, green), (red, green), (green, red) and (red, red). Also, the set of lifts of $\mathcal{C}$ in X_2, $\mathrm{Chr}(X_2, \pi_{2,1}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}))$ has cardinality 2. For the moment note that we want d_{X_2} so that for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(X_2)$ $\pi_{2,0} : \mathcal{C} \to [0,1]^3$ is an isometry. Then we can restrict Lebesgue measure on each $\mathcal{C}$ and get the representation:

$$\mu_{X_2} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(X_2)} \mathcal{L}^3 \llcorner \mathcal{C}. \tag{3.7}$$

The set of **jump pairs** of X_2 is:

$$\mathrm{Jpp}(X_2) = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1)} \mathrm{Jpp}(Q); \tag{3.8}$$

moreover, note that for $(p,q) \in \mathrm{Jpp}(X_1)$ we did not double the cells containing p and q and so we can regard $\{p,q\}$ as a subset of X_2 too. Define a symmetric function $\varrho : X_2 \times X_2 \to [0,\infty]$ by:

(3.9)

$$\varrho(p,q) = \begin{cases} d_{\mathcal{B} \simeq X_1}(p,q) & \text{if } p,q \in \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Cov}(X_2) \\ \frac{1}{4n_1}\mathrm{slen}(Q) & \text{if } \{(p,q)\} \text{ or } \{(q,p)\} = \mathrm{Jpp}(Q) \text{ for } Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $d_{X_2}(p,q)$ is obtained by minimizing the cost of chains joining p to q:

$$d_{X_2}(p,q) = \inf\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varrho(p_i,p_{i+1}) : (p_0,\cdots p_N) \text{ joins } p \text{ to } q\right\}. \tag{3.10}$$

Then $\pi_{2,1}$ becomes 1-Lipschitz, open and cellular, and satisfies the desiderata above. Finally note that $\mathrm{Gates}(X_1)$ can be identified with a subset of X_2 (as we did not apply the diamond construction on those cells of X_1 but just subdivided them) and we let:

$$\mathrm{Gates}(X_2) = \bigcup_{Q\in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1)} \mathrm{Gates}(Q) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{Q\in \mathrm{Cell}(X_2)\\ Q\subset \mathrm{Gates}(X_1)}} Q. \tag{3.11}$$

Let $2 \le k < \bar{n}_1$; to obtain X_{k+1}, we define $\mathrm{ToDouble}(X_k) = \mathrm{Cell}(X_k)\backslash\mathrm{Gates}(X_k)$ and replace each $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_k)$ whith $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_1}(Q)$. The other definitions, e.g. $d_{X_{k+1}}$, $\pi_{k+1,k}$ are as above.

`Step 2: The construction of` $X_{\bar{n}_k+1}, X_{\bar{n}_k+2}, \cdots, X_{\bar{n}_{k+1}}$.

To obtain $X_{\bar{n}_k+1}$ from $X_{\bar{n}_k}$, we apply the diamond construction to *all* the cells of $X_{\bar{n}_k}$: we let $\mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k}) = \mathrm{Cell}(X_{\bar{n}_k})$ and replace each $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k})$ with $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_{k+1}}(Q)$. We can lift $X_{\bar{n}_k}$ by choosing for each $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k})$ either the green or red lift in the construction of $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_k}(Q)$. We set of all possible lifts of $X_{\bar{n}_k}$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Cov}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1})$ and we have:

$$\#\mathrm{Cov}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1}) = 2^{\#\{Q\in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k})\}}. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, consider a chromatic sheet $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(X_{\bar{n}_k})$; this admits exactly two lifts $\mathrm{Chr}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1}, \pi^{-1}_{\bar{n}_k+1,\bar{n}_k}(\mathcal{C})) = \{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{green}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{red}}\}$ where, whenever we choose a lift $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k})$, we *always* choose either red or green. We also define the set of all chromatic sheets:

$$\mathrm{Chr}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1}) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{C}\in \mathrm{Chr}(X_{\bar{n}_k})} \mathrm{Chr}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1}, \pi^{-1}_{\bar{n}_k+1,\bar{n}_k}(\mathcal{C})). \tag{3.13}$$

To construct $d_{X_{\bar{n}_k+1}}$ we proceed as before; we define a symmetric function $\varrho : X_{\bar{n}_k+1} \times X_{\bar{n}_k+1} \to [0,\infty]$ by:

$$\varrho(p,q) = \begin{cases} d_{\mathcal{B}\simeq X_{\bar{n}_k}}(p,q) & \text{if } p,q \in \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Cov}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1}) \\ \frac{1}{4n_{k+1}}\,\mathrm{slen}(Q) & \text{if } \{(p,q)\} \text{ or } \{(q,p)\} = \mathrm{Jpp}(Q) \text{ for } Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k}) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

Then $d_{X_{\bar{n}_k+1}}(p,q)$ is obtained by minimizing the cost of chains joining p to q:

$$d_{X_{\bar{n}_k+1}}(p,q) = \inf\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varrho(p_i,p_{i+1}) : (p_0,\cdots p_N) \text{ joins } p \text{ to } q\right\}. \tag{3.15}$$

Thus $\pi_{\bar{n}_k+1,\bar{n}_k}$ becomes open, cellular and 1-Lipschitz. Moreover for each $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Cov}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1})$ the map $\pi_{\bar{n}_k+1,\bar{n}_k} : \mathcal{B} \to X_{\bar{n}_k}$ is an isometry. Moreover, letting $\pi_{\alpha,\beta} = \pi_{\alpha,\alpha-1} \circ \pi_{\alpha-1,\alpha-2} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{\beta+1,\beta}$, we have that for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1})$ the map $\pi_{\bar{n}_k+1,\bar{n}_k} : \mathcal{C} \to [0,1]^3$ is an isometry. Note that:

$$\#\mathrm{Chr}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1}) = 2^{\bar{n}_k+1}, \tag{3.16}$$

so that one has the representation:

$$\mu_{X_{\bar{n}_k+1}} = 2^{-\bar{n}_k-1} \sum_{\mathcal{C}\in \mathrm{Chr}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1})} \mathcal{L}^3 \llcorner \mathcal{C}. \tag{3.17}$$

We also define the set of jump pairs:

$$\mathrm{Jpp}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1}) = \bigcup_{Q\in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k})} \mathrm{Jpp}(Q). \tag{3.18}$$

An important difference is that for $l \leq \bar{n}_k$, if $\{(p,q)\} \in \mathrm{Jpp}(X_l)$ both p and q are going to be centers of some $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k})$. Thus p gets replaced by a pair $\{p_{\mathrm{green}}, p_{\mathrm{red}}\}$ and q gets replaced by $\{q_{\mathrm{green}}, q_{\mathrm{red}}\}$. Moreover, for all choices $\alpha, \beta \in \{\mathrm{green}, \mathrm{red}\}$ we have:

$$d_{X_{\bar{n}_k+1}}(p_\alpha, q_\beta) = d_{X_{\bar{n}_k}}(p,q). \tag{3.19}$$

Finally let

$$\mathrm{Gates}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1}) = \bigcup_{Q\in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{\bar{n}_k})} \mathrm{Gates}(Q). \tag{3.20}$$

For $\bar{n}_k+1 \leq l < \bar{n}_{k+1}$ we explain how to construct X_{l+1} from X_l. Let $\mathrm{ToDouble}(X_l) = \mathrm{Cell}(X_l) \setminus \mathrm{Gates}(X_l)$ and for each $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_l)$ replace it with $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_k}(Q)$; on the other hand, subdivide each $Q \in \mathrm{Gates}(X_l)$ into smaller subcubes so that all the cells of $\mathrm{Cell}(X_{l+1})$ have the same side length $\mathrm{slen}(X_{l+1})$; the set of cells which were only subdivided will be denoted by $\mathrm{Subdiv}(X_l)$. We then construct $d_{X_{l+1}}$, $\pi_{l+1,l}$, etc... as we did for X_2 (but we replace n_1 with n_k). Finally let:

$$\mathrm{Gates}(X_{l+1}) = \bigcup_{Q\in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_l)} \mathrm{Gates}(Q) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{Q\in \mathrm{Cell}(X_{l+1}) \\ Q\subset \mathrm{Gates}(X_l)}} Q. \tag{3.21}$$

Let $\{X_l\}_l$, $\{\mu_l\}_l$ and $\{\pi_{l+1,l}\}_l$ denote the resulting inverse system; let X_∞, denote the inverse limit; then (2.8) holds (compare the discussion in Lemma 3.27) and thus $X_l \to X_\infty$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense and we also obtain a limit measure μ_∞ such that $\pi_{\infty,l\#}\mu_\infty = \mu_l$. Here we summarize three properties of the inverse system:

(IsoCov): For each $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Cov}(X_{l+1})$ the map $\pi_{l+1,l} : \mathcal{B} \to X_l$ is an isometry.
(IsoChrom): For each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(X_{l+1})$ the map $\pi_{l+1,l} : \mathcal{C} \to [0,1]^3$ is an isometry.
(MuChrom): One can represent $\mu_{X_{l+1}}$ as:

$$\mu_{X_{l+1}} = 2^{-l-1} \sum_{\mathcal{C}\in \mathrm{Chr}(X_{l+1})} \mathcal{L}^3 \llcorner \mathcal{C}. \tag{3.22}$$

Remark 3.23 (Chromatic Labels). Note that we can assign to chromatic sheets in X_l a color label of length l consisting of entries which are either green or red and that in passing to X_{s+1} each sheet gets doubled: we can either append red or green to the label. We can then induce a chromatic label also on points; some points belong to just one chromatic sheet and so the label is unambiguous; for points belonging to more than one sheet we make all possible labelings valid.

3.2. **Bounded local geometry and the horizontal gradient.**

Definition 3.24 (Discrete logarithm)**.** For $r \in (0, 1/2]$ let $\lg(r)$ be the integer such that:

$$\operatorname{slen}(X_{\lg(r)+1}) \leq r < \operatorname{slen}(X_{\lg(r)}). \tag{3.25}$$

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, as $\operatorname{slen}(X_{l+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{slen}(X_l)$, one has the estimate:

$$\lg(\varepsilon r) - \lg(r) \leq \log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 5. \tag{3.26}$$

Lemma 3.27 (Shape of balls)**.** *Let $j > l$ ($j = \infty$ being admissible), $p_j \in X_j$ and $p_l = \pi_{j,l}(p_j)$. Then:*

$$B_{X_j}(p_j, r) \subset \pi_{j,l}^{-1}(B_{X_l}(p_l, r)) \subset B_{X_j}(p_j, r + 4 \times \operatorname{slen}(X_l)). \tag{3.28}$$

There is a universal constant C such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_k \in X_k$ and $r \in [0, \sqrt{3}]$ one can control the number of chromatic sheets intersected by $B_{X_k}(p_k, r)$ as follows:

$$\#\{\mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{Chr}(X_k) : \mathcal{C} \cap B_{X_k}(p_k, r) \neq \emptyset\} \leq C 2^{k - \lg(r)}. \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. As $\pi_{j,l}$ is 1-Lipschitz and open, $\pi_{j,l} : B_{X_j}(p_j, r) \to B_{X_l}(p_l, r)$ is surjective, which gives the inclusion:

$$B_{X_j}(p_j, r) \subset \pi_{j,l}^{-1}(B_{X_l}(p_l, r)). \tag{3.30}$$

Let $q \in \pi_{j,l}^{-1}(B_{X_l}(p_l, r)) \setminus B_{X_j}(p_j, r)$; then we can reach q from $B_{X_j}(p_j, r)$ by changing chromatic sheets by looking at the colors added between X_{l+1} and X_j (for $j = \infty$ one should use a limiting argument). In fact, note that we can assign to chromatic sheets in X_s a color label of length s consisting of entries which are either green or red and that in passing to X_{s+1} each sheet gets doubled: we can either append red or green to the label. Suppose now that in X_s we are on a chromatic sheet $\mathcal{C}$ and we want to move to the chromatic sheet that differs only on the last entry of the color label. We just need to move to a gate $\operatorname{Gates}(X_{l+1})$ and this can be accomplished by traveling a distance at most $\operatorname{slen}(X_l)$. As $\operatorname{slen}(X_{s+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{slen}(X_s)$ we can control, via a geometric series, also the total distance to travel to change colors added between X_s and X_{s+1} so we get the inclusion:

$$\pi_{j,l}^{-1}(B_{X_l}(p_l, r)) \subset B_{X_j}(p_j, r + 4\operatorname{slen}(X_l)). \tag{3.31}$$

We now pass to the bound on the number of chromatic sheets. Let $q \in X_k \cap B_{X_k}(p_k, r)$ and for $s \leq k$ let $\mathcal{C}_s(q)$ be a chromatic sheet of X_s containing $\pi_{k,s}(q)$. If for some $s \leq k$ there is no choice of $\mathcal{C}_s(q)$ such that $\mathcal{C}_s(q)$ passes through $\pi_{k,s}(p_k)$, choose $s = s(q)$ as small as possible having this property. Then either $d(q, p_k) \geq \operatorname{slen}(X_{s(q)})$ or $\pi_{k,s(q)}(q)$ lies at distance $< \operatorname{slen}(X_{s(q)})$ from ∂K, where K is the central cube that gets doubled in passing from Q to $\operatorname{Dym}_{n=n(s)}(Q)$ for $Q \in \operatorname{ToDouble}(X_{s-1})$. Consider the set of those $s(q)$ such that the second case happens and $d(q, p_k) < \operatorname{slen}(X_{s(q)})/16$: this can only happen for one value $\tilde{s}(q)$ of $s(q)$ because ∂K is a a distance $> 1/8 \operatorname{slen}(X_{s-1})$ from ∂Q. Now for each l such that $r \geq \operatorname{slen}(X_l)/16$ we can change at most two colors in the chromatic sheet and hence the bound (3.29) follows. □

Definition 3.32 (The fundamental (ε, r)-configuration)**.** Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/400)$ and let $\mathrm{Disc}(\varepsilon, r) = \{j\varepsilon^2 r\}_{j=1}^{\lceil 1/\varepsilon^2 \rceil}$. Pick $p_\infty \in X_\infty$ and $r \in (0, 1/2)$. Let $p_k = \pi_{\infty,k}(p_\infty)$ and let:

$$\mathrm{Grid}(p_0) = \{p_0 + ((-1)^{h_1}\varrho_1, (-1)^{h_2}\varrho_2, (-1)^{h_3}\varrho_3)\}_{\substack{\varrho_i \in \mathrm{Disc}(\varepsilon, r) \\ h_i \in \{0,1\}}}. \tag{3.33}$$

Then $\mathrm{Grid}(p_0) \subset B_{X_0}(p_0, \sqrt{3}(1+\varepsilon)r)$ and $\mathrm{Grid}(p_0)$ is $\varepsilon^2 r$-dense in $B_{X_0}(p_0, r)$. Let $j_0 = \lg(\varepsilon^2 r)$ and denote by $\mathrm{Chr}(j_0)$ the set of chromatic sheets which intersect $B_{X_{j_0}}(p_{j_0}, r)$. For each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(j_0)$ let $\mathrm{Grid}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} \cap (\pi_{j_0,0}|\mathcal{C})^{-1}(\mathrm{Grid}(p_0))$. Then set:

$$\mathrm{Grid}(p_{j_0}, r) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(j_0)} \mathrm{Grid}(\mathcal{C}), \tag{3.34}$$

which is $\varepsilon^2 r$-dense in $B_{X_{j_0}}(p_{j_0}, r)$ and lies in $B_{X_{j_0}}(p_{j_0}, 2\sqrt{3}r)$.

For $j > j_0$ define $\mathrm{Chr}(j_0)$ as follows; for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(j-1)$ choose just the green lift, i.e. take $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathrm{Chr}(j)$ such that $\pi_{j,j-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathcal{C}$ and the label of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is obtained by appending green to that of $\mathcal{C}$. As $\pi_{j,0} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \to [0,1]^3$ is an isometry, let $\mathrm{Grid}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \cap (\pi_{j,0}|\tilde{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}(\mathrm{Grid}(p_0))$. Finally let:

$$\mathrm{Grid}(p_j, r) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(j)} \mathrm{Grid}(\mathcal{C}), \tag{3.35}$$

which lies in $B_{X_j}(p_j, 2\sqrt{3}r)$.

We now show that $\mathrm{Grid}(p_j, r)$ is $(5\varepsilon r)$-dense in $B_{X_j}(p_j, r)$. From a point $q \in \mathcal{C}$ to change color labels at the positions $s > j_0$ one needs to travel a distance at most $4\,\mathrm{slen}(X_{j_0}) \leq 4\varepsilon^2 r < \varepsilon r$. As $\mathrm{Grid}(p_0)$ is εr-dense in $B_{X_0}(p_0, r)$ we conclude that $\mathrm{Grid}(p_j, r)$ is $(5\varepsilon r)$-dense in $B_{X_j}(p_j, r)$. Finally by (3.28) there is a uniform bound $C = C(\varepsilon)$ on the cardinality of $\mathrm{Grid}(p_j, r)$. We will call $\mathrm{Grid}(p_j, r)$ **a fundamental configuration at p_j, at scale r and resolution** ε: we will denote it by $\mathrm{Fund}_{X_j}(p_j, \varepsilon, r)$.

Finally, we can obtain $\mathrm{Fund}_{X_\infty}(p_\infty, \varepsilon, r)$ by a limiting procedure. In fact, each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(j_0)$ gives rise to a sequence fo chromatic sheets $\mathcal{C}^{(j_0)} = \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{(j_0+1)}, \cdots$ where we keep appending green to the labels. This yields a limit sheet $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)} \subset X_\infty$ and we can then let $\mathrm{Grid}(\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}) = \mathcal{C}^{(\infty)} \cap (\pi_{\infty,0}|\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)})^{-1}(\mathrm{Grid}(p_0))$ and then proceed as above.

Lemma 3.36 (The measures are doubling)**.** *The measures μ_j ($j = \infty$ being admissible) are uniformly doubling, i.e. there is a universal constant C such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $p_j \in X_j$ and $r \in (0, \sqrt{3})$ one has:*

$$\mu_j\Big(B_{X_j}(p_j, r)\Big) \leq C\mu_j\Big(B_{X_j}(p_j, r/2)\Big). \tag{3.37}$$

Proof. We treat the case $j < \infty$ as then $j = \infty$ follows by a limiting argument. Combining (3.29) with (3.17) we deduce:

$$\mu_j\Big(B_{X_j}(p_j, r)\Big) \leq \frac{4\pi}{3} C r^3 2^{-\lg(r)}, \tag{3.38}$$

C being the constant from (3.29).

Fix a chromatic sheet $\mathcal{C}$ containing p_j; by traveling a distance $\leq r/4$ we can change all the last $j - \lg(r/16) \geq j - \lg(r) - 9$ entries of the color label of $\mathcal{C}$. Thus:

$$\bigcup_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{S}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \cap \pi_{j,0}^{-1}(B_{X_0}(\pi_{j,0}(p_0), r/4)) \subset B_{X_j}(p_j, r/2), \tag{3.39}$$

where $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathrm{Chr}(X_j)$ has cardinality at least $j - \lg(r) - 9$. We then have:

$$\mu_j\left(B_{X_j}(p_j, r/2)\right) \geq \frac{4\pi}{3}\frac{r^3}{64}2^{-\lg(r)-9}. \tag{3.40}$$

□

Definition 3.41 (The horizontal gradient)**.** We want to describe the horizontal gradient ∇ in X_l for $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. For $l = 0$ we just take the usual gradient as $X_0 = [0,1]^3$. In general, for $l < \infty$ the measure μ_l has a **3-rectifiable representation**, i.e. it can be represented as an integral of measures associated to 3-rectifiable sets:

$$\mu_{X_l} = 2^{-l} \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(X_l)} \mathcal{L}^3 \llcorner \mathcal{C}; \tag{3.42}$$

as each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Chr}(X_l)$ can be identified with $[0,1]^3$ we can take the standard gradient ∇ on each $\mathcal{C}$ and obtain the horizontal gradient ∇ on X_l.

Let $\vec{x}$ be the tuple (x^1, x^2, x^3) of coordinate functions on $[0,1]^3$; with abuse of notation we will also write $\vec{x}$ for $\vec{x} \circ \pi_{l,0}$; then at each $p \in X_l$ one has $\nabla \vec{x}(p) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^3}$.

For $l = \infty$ let $\mathrm{Chr}(X_\infty)$ denote the set of all the sequences $(\mathcal{C}_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ where $\mathcal{C}_i \in \mathrm{Chr}(X_i)$ and $\pi_{i+1,i}(\mathcal{C}_{i+1}) = \mathcal{C}_i$ for each i. Then $(\mathcal{C}_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ admits an inverse limit $\mathcal{C}_\infty$, and note that $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ also completely determines the sequence $(\mathcal{C}_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ letting $\mathcal{C}_i = \pi_{\infty,i}(\mathcal{C}_\infty)$. The uniform probability measures $P_l = 2^{-l}$ on $\mathrm{Chr}(X_l)$ pass to the limit to a probability measure P_∞ on $\mathrm{Chr}(X_\infty)$. More concretely, using sequences on green and red, we can identify $\mathrm{Chr}(X_\infty)$ with the standard Cantor set and P_∞ becomes the corresponding standard probability measure. Taking the limit in (3.42) we get:

$$\mu_\infty = \int_{\mathrm{Chr}(X_\infty)} \mathcal{L}^3 \llcorner \mathcal{C}\, dP_\infty(\mathcal{C}); \tag{3.43}$$

on each $\mathcal{C}$ the operator ∇ is well-defined, and thanks to (3.43) we can combine them to obtain the horizontal derivative on X_∞. Note also that $\nabla \vec{x} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ on X_∞ where with abuse of notation we have written $\vec{x}$ for $\vec{x} \circ \pi_{\infty,0}$.

3.3. **Horizontal paths with jumps.**

Definition 3.44 (Horizontal paths)**.** A **horizontal segment** σ in X_j ($j = \infty$ being admissible) is a geodesic segment such that $\pi_{j,0}(\sigma)$ is a segment of X_0 parallel to one of the coordinate axes of $[0,1]^3$. We allow for a segment to be degenerate, i.e. to be just a point.

A **horizontal path** ph in X_j is a finite tuple $\mathrm{ph} = (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_N)$ of horizontal segments such that for $1 \leq i < N$ the end point of σ_i is the starting point of σ_{i+1}. The **length** of ph is the sum of the lengths of its segments:

$$\mathrm{len}(\mathrm{ph}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{len}(\sigma_i). \tag{3.45}$$

Definition 3.46 (The set of total jump pairs)**.** The set $\mathrm{TJpp}(X_j)$ of **total jump pairs** of X_j ($j = \infty$ being admissible) consists of all $\{q, q'\} \subset X_j$ such that:

(1) Either $j < \infty$ and one has $(q, q') \in \mathrm{Jpp}(X_j)$; in this case $\mathrm{Gates}(\{q, q'\})$ is the union of the two gates of X_j containing q, q'.
(2) Or there is an $l < j$ such that $(\pi_{j,l}(q), \pi_{j,l}(q')) \in \mathrm{Jpp}(X_l)$; in this case $\mathrm{Gates}(\{q, q'\})$ is the union of the two gates of X_l containing $\pi_{j,l}(q), \pi_{j,l}(q')$.

In this second case note that because of (3.14)

$$d_{X_j}(q, q') = d_{X_l}(\pi_{j,l}(q), \pi_{j,l}(q')). \tag{3.47}$$

Definition 3.48 (Horizontal paths with jumps)**.** A **horizontal path with jumps** jph in X_j ($j = \infty$ being admissible) is a finite alternating tuple $(\mathrm{ph}_1, \mathrm{jp}_1, \mathrm{ph}_2, \cdots, \mathrm{jp}_{N-1}, \mathrm{ph}_N)$ where:

(1) The $\{\mathrm{ph}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are horizontal paths and $\{\mathrm{jp}_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathrm{TJpp}(X_j)$.
(2) For $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ jp_i consists of the end point of ph_i and the starting point of ph_{i+1}.

The **length** of jph is:

$$\mathrm{len}(\mathrm{jph}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{len}(\mathrm{ph}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \{d_{X_j}(q, q') : (q, q') = \mathrm{jp}_i\}. \tag{3.49}$$

Lemma 3.50 (Existence of good horizontal paths with jumps)**.** *Let* $\mathrm{Fund}_{X_l}(p_l, \varepsilon, r)$ *be a fundamental configuration in* X_l *($l = \infty$ being admissible). Then there is a universal constant* C *independent of* l, p_l, r *and* ε *such that for each* $q_l \in \mathrm{Fund}_{X_l}(p_l, \varepsilon, r)$ *there is either a horizontal path* $\gamma = \mathrm{ph}$ *or a horizontal path with jumps* $\gamma = \mathrm{jph}$ *such that:*

(Gd1): γ *starts at* p_l *and ends at* q_l*.*
(Gd2): *If* $\gamma = \mathrm{jph}$ *there is only one jump, i.e.* $\gamma = (\mathrm{ph}_-, \mathrm{jp}, \mathrm{ph}_+)$*.*
(Gd3): $\mathrm{len}(\gamma) \leq C d_{X_l}(p_l, q_l)$*.*
(Gd4): *With the exception of at most* 10 *horizontal segments in* γ*, for each other horizontal segment* σ *one has:*

$$\mathrm{len}(\sigma) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^3 r}{400}. \tag{3.51}$$

(Gd5): γ *contains at most* 15 *horizontal segments.*

Proof. The construction will be inductive; for $j < l$ let $p_j = \pi_{l,j}(p_l)$ and $q_j = \pi_{l,j}(q_l)$.

`Step 1: The construction in` X_0 `and` X_1

X_0 is just $[0,1]^3$ with the Euclidean metric and we know that for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ either $x^i(p_0) = x^i(q_0)$ or $|x^i(p_0) - x^i(q_0)| \geq \varepsilon^2 r$. We can then find a horizontal path $\gamma_0 = \mathrm{ph}_0$ starting at p_0, ending at q_0 and satisfying the following conditions (henceforth referred to as **(Inv1)**):

(Inv1:1): γ_0 consists of at most 3 horizontal paths and $\mathrm{len}(\gamma_0) \leq 3 d_{X_0}(p_0, q_0)$.
(Inv1:2): The length of each horizontal segment in γ_0 is $\geq \varepsilon^3 r$.

As $\pi_{1,0}$ is open we can lift γ_0: consider the set $\mathrm{Lift}(\gamma_0)$ of all lifts of γ_0 (i.e. horizontal paths γ_1 that are mapped to γ_0 by $\pi_{1,0}$) starting at p_1. If one such lift ph_1 ends at q_1 let $\gamma_1 = \mathrm{ph}_1$ and note that it will satisfy **(Inv1)** (change the subscripts in **(Inv1:1–2)** from 0 to 1).

Assume that this does not happen. As in Construction 3.1 let K be the subcube that gets doubled and $\{c_{\mathrm{green}}, c_{\mathrm{red}}\}$ the pair of jump points. Then p_1 and q_1 belong

to lifts of K lying in chromatic sheets with different colors. Note that ∂K can be regarded also as a subset of X_1 (the metrics d_{X_1} and d_{X_0} agree on it).

We first consider the case in which there is a $p_K \in \partial K$ such that:

$$d_{X_1}(p_1, p_K) + d_{X_1}(p_K, q_1) \leq 8 d_{X_1}(p_1, q_1). \tag{3.52}$$

Consider the 6 quantities:

$$\left\{ \left| x^i(p_1) - x^i(p_K) \right|, \left| x^i(p_K) - x^i(q_1) \right| \right\}_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}; \tag{3.53}$$

as $d_{X_1}(p_1, q_1) \geq \varepsilon^2 r$ at most 5 of the above quantities can be $\leq \varepsilon^3 r/16$ and thus we can find a horizontal path $\gamma_1 = \mathrm{ph}_1$ starting at p_1 and ending at q_1 and satisfying the following conditions (henceforth referred to as **(Inv2)**):

- **(Inv2:1):** $\gamma_1 = (\mathrm{ph}_-, \mathrm{ph}_+)$, ph_- joins p_1 to p_K and $\mathrm{len}(\mathrm{ph}_-) \leq 3 d_{X_1}(p_1, p_K)$; ph_+ joins p_K to q_1 and $\mathrm{len}(\mathrm{ph}_+) \leq 3 d_{X_1}(p_K, q_1)$; as a consequence $\mathrm{len}(\gamma_1) \leq 24 d_{X_1}(p_1, q_1)$.
- **(Inv2:2):** With the exception of at most 5 horizontal segments in γ_1, for each other horizontal segment σ one has $\mathrm{len}(\sigma) \geq \varepsilon^3 r/16$.

If such a p_K does not exist to change color we must use the jump pair $(c_{\mathrm{green}}, c_{\mathrm{red}})$; moreover, without loss of generality we can assume that:

$$d_{X_1}(p_1, c_{\mathrm{red}}) + d_{X_1}(c_{\mathrm{red}}, c_{\mathrm{green}}) + d_{X_1}(c_{\mathrm{green}}, q_1) = d_{X_1}(p_1, q_1). \tag{3.54}$$

We can then find a horizontal path with jumps $\gamma_1 = \mathrm{jph}_1 = (\mathrm{ph}_-, \mathrm{jp}, \mathrm{ph}_+)$ which satisfies the following conditions (henceforth referred to as **(Inv3)**):

- **(Inv3:1):** ph_- joins p_1 to c_{red}, $\mathrm{jp} = (c_{\mathrm{green}}, c_{\mathrm{red}})$, ph_+ joins c_{green} to q_1; moreover $\mathrm{len}(\mathrm{ph}_-) \leq 3 d_{X_1}(p_1, c_{\mathrm{red}})$, $\mathrm{len}(\mathrm{ph}_+) \leq 3 d_{X_1}(c_{\mathrm{green}}, q_1)$ and thus $\mathrm{len}(\gamma_1) \leq 3 d_{X_1}(p_1, q_1)$.
- **(Inv3:2):** Except for at most 6 horizontal segments in γ_1, for each other horizontal segment σ one has $\mathrm{len}(\sigma) \geq \varepsilon^3 r/16$.

For the record we also note that:

$$d_{X_1}(p_1, q_1) \geq 4\, \mathrm{slen}(X_1). \tag{3.55}$$

`Step 2: The construction in` X_2`.`

Consider the lifts $\mathrm{Lift}(\gamma_1)$ of γ_1 in X_2 starting at p_2. If one such lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ ends at q_2 let $\gamma_2 = \tilde{\gamma}$, which will satisfy the same of **(Inv1–3)** that γ_1 satisfied.

Supose that there is no such a lift. Then there are $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_1)$ such that $p_1 \in Q_1$, $q_1 \in Q_2$. Let K_i be the central subcube of Q_i which is doubled in constructing $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_1}(Q_i)$; then $p_1 \in K_1$ and $q_1 \in K_2$ and p_2, q_2 have different color labels at position 2. If γ_1 satisfied **(Inv2)** we whould have crossed ∂K_1 following γ_1 and so we would have been able to find a lift that changed the second color label and ended at q_2. If γ_1 satisfied **(Inv3)**, to reach c_{red} in the lift of K, we would again have crossed ∂K_1 and we would have been able to change the second color label, finding a lift ending at q_2. We thus conclude that γ_1 satisfies **(Inv1)**. Now we can essentially argue as before. One possibility is that there was a $p_K \in \partial K_1 \cup \partial K_2$ (now regarded as a subset of X_2) such that:

$$d_{X_2}(p_1, p_K) + d_{X_2}(p_K, q_1) \leq 8 d_{X_2}(p_2, q_2). \tag{3.56}$$

In this case, as in `Step 1` we can produce a γ_2 starting at p_2 and ending at q_2 which satisfies **(Inv2)**. Otherwise, we can use either the lifts of the center of K_1 or K_2

to change the second color label and argue as in `Step 1` to produce a γ_2 satisfying **(Inv3)**.

`Step 3: The construction in` X_l `for` $2 < l < \infty$.

Consider the lifts $\mathrm{Lift}(\gamma_{l-1})$ of γ_{l-1} in X_l starting at p_l. If one such a lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ ends at q_l, let $\gamma_l = \tilde{\gamma}$ which will satisfy the same **(Inv1–3)** that γ_l satisfied.

Assume that this is not the case. Then there are $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{l-1})$ such that, denoting by K_1, K_2 the central subcubes to be doubled, $p_{l-1} \in K_1$ and $q_{l-1} \in K_2$, and the color labels of p_{l-1} and q_{l-1} differ at the l-th position. Assume that γ_{l-1} satisfied **(Inv2)** and that the transition from **(Inv1)** to **(Inv2)** (note that γ_0 will always satisfy **(Inv1)**) occurred at level j. Let then K denote the central subcube in X_{j-1} whose boundary was used by γ_j to change the color label at position j. Then to reach ∂K (which can be regarded as a subset of X_{l-1}) from p_{l-1} we would have crossed ∂K_1, so we would have been able to lift γ_{l-1} to end at q_l. Assume that γ_{l-1} satisfied invariant **(Inv3)**. Let $\{c_1, c_2\}$ denote the couple of jump points used in γ_{l-1}. Then there are two cases to consider. One is that $\{c_1, c_2\}$ can be lifted also to change the l-th color label. Recalling `Step 2` of Construction 3.5 this can happen in constructing $X_{\bar{n}_k+1}$ because all $Q \in \mathrm{Cell}(X_{\bar{n}_k})$ get replaced by $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_{k+1}}(Q)$. If this is not the case, then to reach c_1 or c_2 we have to cross $\partial K_1 \cup \partial K_2$ and so can change the l-th color label. This implies that a lift of γ_{l-1} ending at q_l has to exist. We thus conclude that γ_{l-1} has to satisfy **(Inv1)** and we can argue as in `Step 2` finding γ_l satisfying either **(Inv2)** or **(Inv3)**.

`Step 4: The case` $l = \infty$.

For $l = \infty$ we use a limiting argument. Consider the sequence $\{\gamma_j\}$: after some j_0 all the γ_j's have to satisfy the same **(Inv1–3)**. Then for $j > j_0$, γ_j is a lift of γ_{j+1} and we can obtain γ_∞ as the inverse limit of the $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \geq j_0}$. □

3.4. **Existence of disconnected tangents.**

Theorem 3.57 (Existence of disconnected tangents)**.** *At μ_∞-a.e. $p_\infty \in X_\infty$ there is a tangent/blow-up which is not topologically connected.*

Proof. For each $p_\infty \in X_\infty$ and $l < \infty$ let $\pi_{\infty,l}(p_\infty) = p_l$. Recall that μ_∞ is a probability measure and define:

$$E_k = \left\{ p_\infty : \text{for some } l \in \{\bar{n}_k + 1, \cdots, \bar{n}_{k+1}\}\ p_l \in \mathrm{Gates}(X_l) \right\}. \tag{3.58}$$

In `Step 2` of Construction 3.5 we replaced each $Q \in \mathrm{Cell}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1})$ with $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_{k+1}}(Q)$: this implies that the events E_k and E_{k+j} are independent for $j \geq 1$. Moreover, there is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that for each k one has:

$$\mu_{\bar{n}_k+1}(\mathrm{Gates}(X_{\bar{n}_k+1})) \geq \frac{c}{n_{k+1}^3}; \tag{3.59}$$

consider now l such that $\bar{n}_k + 1 < l \leq \bar{n}_{k+1}$: as in Construction 3.5 we do not apply the diamond construction to the gates at the previous levels, we have the estimate:

$$\mu_l(\mathrm{Gates}(X_l)) \geq \frac{c}{n_{k+1}^3} \mu_l\left(X_l \setminus \bigcup_{j=\bar{n}_k+1}^{l-1} \pi_{l,j}^{-1}(\mathrm{Gates}(X_j)) \right). \tag{3.60}$$

We can therefore estimate the measure of E_k from below:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\infty(E_k) &\geq \frac{c}{n_{k+1}^3}\sum_{t=0}^{n_{k+1}^3-1}\left(1-\frac{c}{n_{k+1}^3}\right)^t \\ &= 1-\left(1-\frac{c}{n_{k+1}^3}\right)^{n_{k+1}^3} \\ &\geq 1-2e^{-c},\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

for k sufficiently large. Hence there is a uniform lower bound on $\mu_\infty(E_k)$ and by the the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, for μ_∞-a.e. p_∞ one has $p_\infty \in E_k$ infinitely often.

Assume $p_\infty \in E_k$ and let t_k be such that $p_{t_k} \in \mathrm{Gates}(X_{t_k})$ where $\bar{n}_k + 1 \leq t_k \leq \bar{n}_{k+1}$. Consider the rescaling $Y_{t_k} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{slen}(X_{t_k})}X_{t_k}$; then $B_{Y_{t_k}}(p_{t_k}, \sqrt{n_{k+1}})$ has two distinct connected components at distance ≥ 1. For $j > t_k$ we can keep lifting these components in the rescaling $Y_j = \frac{1}{\mathrm{slen}(X_{t_k})}X_j$ to conclude that also $B_{Y_j}(p_j, \sqrt{n_{k+1}})$ has two connected components at distance ≥ 1. For $k \nearrow \infty$ we have $n_{k+1} \nearrow \infty$ and so we have that $\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{slen}(X_{t_k})}X_\infty, p_\infty\right)$ subconverges to a metric space having at least two connected components at distance ≥ 1. □

4. Differentiability of real-valued Lipschitz maps

In this section we prove differentiability for real-valued Lipschitz maps, Theorem 4.56. The emphasis is to get an estimate to control how fast a Lipschitz map will collapse together the points appearing in the jump part of the horizontal paths with jumps that we constructed in Section 3. The key estimate is given in Theorem 4.30; this result is based on taking recursive piecewise harmonic approximations of the function, Definition 4.20 and on some elementary PDE 4.6.

4.1. **Harmonic functions.**

Lemma 4.1 (Lipschitz estimate for harmonic functions)**.** *Let $u : U \to \mathbb{R}$ or l^2 be harmonic where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is open. Assume that $B(p_0, r) \subset U$; then there is a universal constant C, independent of u, U, p_0 or r, such that u is:*

$$\frac{C}{r}\|u\|_{L^1(B(p_0,r))}\text{-Lipschitz} \tag{4.2}$$

in $B(p_0, r/3)$.

Proof. The case where u is real-valued is well-explained in [Eva98, Ch. 2,Thm. 7]; here we explain the minor modifications needed for l^2-valued harmonic functions. Let u_j be a component of u and let $p \in B(p_0, r/3)$; by the mean value property:

$$\partial_i u_j(p) = \fint_{B(p,r/3)} \partial_i u_j \, d\mathcal{L}^3 = \frac{\alpha_3}{r}\fint_{\partial B(p,r/3)} u_j \nu_i \, d\mathcal{H}^2, \tag{4.3}$$

where we used integration by parts, α_3 denotes a universal constant and ν is the outer normal to $\partial B(p, r/3)$. Then:

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\partial_i u_j(p)|^2 \leq \left(\frac{\alpha_3}{r}\right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \fint_{\partial B(p,r/3)} |u_j|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^2, \tag{4.4}$$

and so:

$$\|\partial_i u(p)\|_{l^2} \leq \frac{\alpha_3}{r} \max_{q \in \partial B(p,r/3)} \|u(q)\|_{l^2}\,; \tag{4.5}$$

then (4.2) follows applying the mean-value property to $q \in B(q, r/3) \subset B(p_0, r)$. □

Lemma 4.6 (Lower bound on the energy)**.** *Let Q be a cube with sidelength* $\mathrm{slen}(Q)$ *and for $s \in (0, \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{6}]$ let sQ denote the cube with the same center as Q and with sidelength s. Assume that $F : \overline{Q \setminus sQ} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and locally Lispchitz in $Q \setminus sQ$: for each compact K contained in the interior of $Q \setminus sQ$ the restriction $F|K$ is Lipschitz. Then there is a universal constant c_{Har} (independent of Q and s) such that if:*

$$\left| \fint_{\partial(sQ)} F \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| \geq \eta \tag{4.7}$$

and $F = 0$ on ∂Q then:

$$\int_{Q \setminus sQ} \|\nabla F\|_2^2 \, d\mathcal{L}^3 \geq c_{\mathrm{Har}} \eta^2 s. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. `Step 1: Reducing the problem to balls.`

Up to a translation we can assume that Q is centered at 0. Let:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : Q &\to B(0, \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2}) \\ x &\mapsto \frac{\|x\|_\infty}{\|x\|_2} x. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

We compute $d\Psi$ at a generic point x where $x_1 \neq x_2 \neq x_3$ and where $\|x\|_\infty = |x_1|$:

$$\partial_j \Psi_i = \frac{\|x\|_\infty}{\|x\|_2} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{x_i x_j}{\|x\|_2^2} \right) + \frac{\mathrm{sgn}(x_1) \chi_{j=1}}{\|x\|_2} x_i; \tag{4.10}$$

on the one hand:

$$\left| \left\langle d\Psi(x), \frac{x}{\|x\|_2} \right\rangle \right| = \left\| \frac{\mathrm{sgn}(x_1)}{\|x_2\|_2^2} x_1 (x_1, x_2, x_3) \right\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{3}; \tag{4.11}$$

on the other hand if v is a unit vector orthogonal to x:

$$\langle d\Psi(x), v \rangle = \frac{\|x\|_\infty}{\|x\|_2} v + \mathrm{sgn}(x_1) v_1 \frac{x}{\|x\|_2} \tag{4.12}$$

and thus

$$|\langle d\Psi(x), v \rangle| \geq 1. \tag{4.13}$$

We conclude that Ψ is $(1/16, 16)$-bi-Lipschitz and maps Q onto $B(0, \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2})$, sQ onto $B(0, \frac{s}{2})$, ∂Q onto $\partial B(0, \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2})$ and $\partial(sQ)$ onto $\partial B(0, \frac{s}{2})$. We can thus reduce to the case in which $F : B(0, \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2}) \to \mathbb{R}$, $F = 0$ for $r = \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2}$ (we are using polar coordinates) and

$$\fint_{\partial B(0, \frac{s}{2})} F \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \geq \eta, \tag{4.14}$$

up to changing the sign of F and by replacing the original η with $\eta/16^4$.

`Step 2: Symmetrization`

Let $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and define:

$$\tilde{F}(r,\omega) = \tilde{F}(r) = \fint_{\mathbb{S}^2} F(r,\tilde{\omega})\, d\mathcal{H}^2(\tilde{\omega}). \tag{4.15}$$

As F is locally Lipschitz in $B(0,\frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2}) \setminus B(0,\frac{s}{2})$ so is $\tilde{F}$. We show that $\tilde{F}$ has lower energy than F in $B(0,\frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2}) \setminus B(0,\frac{s}{2})$, so it suffices to bound the energy of $\tilde{F}$ from below:

(4.16)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(0,\frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2})\setminus B(0,\frac{s}{2})} \|\nabla \tilde{F}(r,\omega)\|_2^2\, r^2 dr d\omega &= \int_{s/2}^{\mathrm{slen}(Q)/2} r^2\, dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega \left(\fint_{\mathbb{S}^2} \partial_r F(r,\tilde{\omega})\, d\tilde{\omega} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{s/2}^{\mathrm{slen}(Q)/2} r^2\, dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega \fint_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\partial_r F(r,\tilde{\omega})\right)^2 d\tilde{\omega} \\ &\leq \int_{B(0,\frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2})\setminus B(0,\frac{s}{2})} \|\nabla F(r,\omega)\|_2^2\, r^2 dr d\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Note also that $\tilde{F} = 0$ for $r = \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2}$ and $\tilde{F} = a \geq \eta$ for $r = \frac{s}{2}$.

`Step 3: Comparison with a harmonic function.`

The minimum energy will be attained by the harmonic function with the same boundary conditions as $\tilde{F}$: the general solution is of the form $A/r + B$ and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{as}{2(\mathrm{slen}(Q) - s)}\, \mathrm{slen}(Q) \\ B &= -\frac{2A}{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

We can then compute the energy of this function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi \int_{s/2}^{\mathrm{slen}(Q)/2} \left(\frac{A}{r^2}\right)^2 r^2\, dr &= 4\pi A^2 \left[-\frac{1}{r}\right]_{r=s/2}^{\mathrm{slen}(Q)/2} \\ &= 4\pi A^2 \frac{2(\mathrm{slen}(Q) - s)}{s\, \mathrm{slen}(Q)} \\ &= \pi a^2 s \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{\mathrm{slen}(Q) - s} \\ &\geq \eta^2 s. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

□

Remark 4.19. For the proof of Lemma 4.6 we made the simplest assumption of F being locally Lipschitz; one might have made a more general one to run the same argument, say assuming the F belonged to the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(Q \setminus sQ)$ and extended continuously to $\overline{Q \setminus sQ}$.

Definition 4.20 (Piecewise harmonic approximations)**.** We define the 2**-skeleton** of X_j $(j < \infty)$ as:

$$\mathrm{SK}_2(X_j) = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathrm{Cell}(X_j)} \partial Q; \tag{4.21}$$

note that for $l \geq j$ ($l = \infty$ being admissible) $\mathrm{SK}_2(X_j)$ embedds isometrically in X_l.

We define the **2-harmonic skeleton** of X_j ($j < \infty$) as:

$$\mathrm{HSK}_2(X_j) = \mathrm{SK}_2(X_{j-1}) \cup \bigcup_{Q \in \mathrm{Gates}(X_j)} \partial Q; \tag{4.22}$$

note that for $l \geq j$ ($l = \infty$ being admissible) $\mathrm{HSK}_2(X_j)$ embedds isometrically in X_l.

Let $f : X_\infty \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz. We define the **piecewise harmonic approximations** of f as follows. For $j \geq 0$ let $\mathrm{G}_j(f) : X_j \to \mathbb{R}$ be the piecewise harmonic function which is harmonic inside each cell of X_j and agrees with f on $\mathrm{SK}_2(X_j)$.

For $j \geq 1$ let $\mathrm{H}_j(f) : X_j \to \mathbb{R}$ be the piecewise harmonic function which agrees with f on $\mathrm{HSK}_2(X_j)$ and such that:

1. For $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{j-1})$ let K_{green} and K_{red} be the lifts of K_Q in $\mathrm{Dym}_{n=n(j)}(Q)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$ the corresponding gates; set $Q_{\mathrm{green}} = Q \backslash K_Q \cup K_{\mathrm{green}} \backslash \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}$ and $Q_{\mathrm{red}} = Q \setminus K_Q \cup K_{\mathrm{red}} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$. Then $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ is harmonic in the interior of $Q_{\mathrm{green}} \cup Q_{\mathrm{red}}$ and agrees with f on $\partial Q \cup \partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$.
2. For each $Q \in \mathrm{Gates}(X_j)$ $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ is harmonic in the interior of Q.
3. Each $Q \in \mathrm{Subdiv}(X_{j-1})$ gets isometrically lifted in X_j and $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ is harmonic in the interior of Q and agrees with f on ∂Q.

We prove that $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ and $\mathrm{G}_j(f)$ are continuous and have distributional derivatives in L^2.

Lemma 4.23 (Regularity of piecewise harmonic approximations)**.** *The function $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ and $\mathrm{G}_j(f)$ are continuous, are in $W^{1,2}(X_j, \mu_j)$ and satisfy the energy bounds*

$$\sup_j \left\{ \int_{X_j} \|\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f)\|_2^2 \, d\mu_j, \int_{X_j} \|\nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)\|_2^2 \, d\mu_j \right\} \leq (\mathbf{L}(f))^2. \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. We are gluing functions which are harmonic in the interia of the cells of X_j using compatible boundary conditions. Thus continuity and membership in $W^{1,2}(X_j, \mu_j)$ follow if we show that the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta h & = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ h & = G \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$

where Ω is either a cube or a cube with an inner smaller cube with the same center removed (a "cubular annulus") and where $G|\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz has a solution which is $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, i.e. it is continuous up to the boundary. We use Perron's Method [GT01, Sec. 2.8]; the desired solution exists as Ω has the *exterior cone property:* for each $p \in \partial\Omega$ there is a small cone:

$$C_p = \{p + v : \|v\|_2 \leq r_p, \mathrm{angle}(v, e_p) \leq \alpha_p\} \tag{4.26}$$

such that $B(p, r_p/2) \cap \Omega \subset B(p, r_p/2) \setminus C_p$ and $C_p \cap \partial\Omega = \{p\}$. Then one has to construct [GT01, Ex. 2.12] a *local barrier* w_p at p:

1. w_p is superharmonic in $\Omega \cap B(p, s)$ for $s > 0$; here we will content with w_p harmonic.
2. $w_p > 0$ in $(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{p\}) \cap B(p, s)$ and $w_p(p) = 0$.

We set up a spherical coordinate system (r, θ, ϕ) with origin at p and axis $\theta = 0$ opposite to e_p; the Laplacian is given by:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \phi} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right). \tag{4.27}$$

We look for w_p harmonic with ansatz $w_p = r^\lambda f(\theta)$ where $\lambda > 0$; we get the Legendre ODE:

$$f''(\theta) + \cot\theta f'(\theta) + \lambda(\lambda+1)f(\theta) = 0, \tag{4.28}$$

and look for $f(\theta) > 0$ when $\theta \in [0, \pi - \alpha_p]$. As solution we choose $f_\lambda(\theta) = P^0_\lambda(\cos\theta)$ where P^0_λ is the Legendre function of the first kind (see `http://dlmf.nist.gov/14`). As $\lambda \searrow 0$ $P^0_\lambda(\cos\theta)$ converges to the constant function 1, moreover, $P^0_\lambda(\cos\theta)$ is monotonically decreasing from 1 for $\theta = 0$ to $-\infty$ for $\theta = \pi$; depending on α_p, $\lambda > 0$ can thus be taken sufficiently small to ensure $f_\lambda(\theta) > 0$ in the desired range of θ.

For further reference we also record the rate of convergence of $h(x)$ to $G(p)$ as $x \to p$, given the barrier w_p; for $\|x - p\|_2 \le \delta$, from [GT01, Lem. 2.13]:

$$|h(x) - G(p)| \le \mathbf{L}(G)\|x - p\|_2 + \frac{2\|G\|_\infty}{\inf_{\|y-p\|_2 > \delta} w_p(y)} w_p(x). \tag{4.29}$$

In particular, as we can translate and rotate the same barrier w_p at the different points of $\partial\Omega$ we get a uniform estimate on the convergence of h to G.

Finally, harmonic functions minimize the l^2-energy in the class of functions satisfying their boundary conditions. We could then have taken a MacShane extension $\tilde{f}$ of $f|\mathrm{SK}_2(X_j)$ or $f|\mathrm{HSK}_2(X_j)$ and thus (4.24) follows. □

Theorem 4.30 (Rate of collapse of the gates)**.** *Let $f : X_\infty \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz. Let $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{l-1})$ where $\bar{n}_k + 1 \le l \le \bar{n}_{k+1}$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$ be the two gates of $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_{k+1}}(Q)$. Having fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ we say that Q is **bad** and write $Q \in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})$ if:*

$$\left| \fint_{\partial\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}} f\, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \fint_{\partial\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}} f\, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{256 \times n_{k+1}} \operatorname{diam}(Q). \tag{4.31}$$

Then there is a univeral constant C, independent of f and ε such that we have the following estimate on the measure of the bad cubes:

$$\sum_{k\ge 1} \sum_{l=\bar{n}_k+1}^{\bar{n}_{k+1}} \sum_{Q \in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{n_{k+1}^3} \mu_{l-1}(Q) \le C \times (\mathbf{L}(f))^2. \tag{4.32}$$

Proof. `Step 1: Orthogonality relations.`

We show that:

$$\int_{X_j} (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \cdot \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f)\, d\mu_j = 0. \tag{4.33}$$

Let $Q \in \mathrm{Cell}(X_{j-1})$ and in the first case assume that $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{j-1})$. Let K_Q be the central subcube to be doubled in passing from Q to $\mathrm{Dym}_{n=n(j)}(Q)$ and K_{red}, K_{green} the two copies of K_Q and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}$ the corresponding gates. Write:

$$\mathrm{Dym}_{n=n(j)}(Q) = Q \setminus K_Q \cup K_{\mathrm{green}} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup K_{\mathrm{red}} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}; \tag{4.34}$$

define $A_{\mathrm{green}} = Q \setminus K_Q \cup K_{\mathrm{green}} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}$ and $A_{\mathrm{red}} = Q \setminus K_Q \cup K_{\mathrm{red}} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$. As both $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ and $\mathrm{G}_j(f)$ are harmonic in the interior of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$ and agree on $\partial\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \partial\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$, we have:

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}} (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \cdot \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f)\, d\mu_j = 0, \tag{4.35}$$

minding that harmonic functions are determined by their boundary conditions.

Fix a smooth harmonic function ϕ on A_{green} which can be extended to be smooth on a neighborhood of A_{green}. By Lemma 4.23 $\mathrm{G}_j(f)$ and $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ are in $W^{1,2}(X_j, \mu_j)$ and so we can integrate by parts; as $Q \setminus K_Q$ appears both in A_{green} and A_{red} we halve μ_j on it, getting $\tilde{\mu}_j$ which is just a constant multiple of Lebesgue measure on the whole of A_{green}; denoting by ∂_ν the normal derivative on the boundary we get:

(4.36)
$$\int_{A_{\text{green}}} (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \cdot \nabla \phi \, d\tilde{\mu}_j = - \int_{A_{\text{green}}} (\mathrm{H}_j(f) - \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \Delta \phi \, d\tilde{\mu}_j + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_j(A_{\text{green}})}{\mathcal{L}^3(A_{\text{green}})} \int_{\partial A_{\text{green}}} (\mathrm{H}_j(f) - \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \partial_\nu \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2.$$

As $\Delta \phi = 0$ in A_{green} and $\mathrm{H}_j(f) = \mathrm{G}_j(f)$ on $\partial A_{\text{green}}$ we conclude that:

$$\int_{A_{\text{green}}} (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \cdot \nabla \phi \, d\tilde{\mu}_j = 0. \tag{4.37}$$

We enlarge the "cubical annulus" A_{green} to a slightly larger cubical annulus $A_{\text{green},\varepsilon}$ which lies in a (6ε)-neighborhood of A_{green}. We then choose $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon : \partial A_{\text{green},\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}$ to be 1-Lipschitz on $\partial A_{\text{green},\varepsilon}$ and such that the graphs of $f|\partial A_{\text{green}}$ and $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon$ are at Hausdorff distance $\leq 150\varepsilon$. Let ϕ_ε be the harmonic function defined on $A_{\text{green},\varepsilon}$ which agrees with $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon$ on $\partial A_{\text{green},\varepsilon}$. By the barrier estimate (4.29) and the maximum principle $\phi_\varepsilon \to \mathrm{H}_j(f)$ uniformly on A_{green} as $\varepsilon \to 0$ (here in A_{green} we also include its boundary). Moreover, as the boundary conditions are 1-Lipschitz, the family $\{\nabla \phi_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ is bounded in $L^2(A_{\text{green}}, \tilde{\mu}_j)$ and we conclude that $\nabla \phi_\varepsilon$ converges weakly to $\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f)$ in $L^2(A_{\text{green}}, \tilde{\mu}_j)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Thus, as each ϕ_ε satisfies the orthogonality (4.37), we get:

$$\int_{A_{\text{green}}} (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \cdot \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) \, d\tilde{\mu}_j = 0, \tag{4.38}$$

and similarly:

$$\int_{A_{\text{red}}} (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \cdot \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) \, d\tilde{\mu}_j = 0. \tag{4.39}$$

Putting together (4.35), (4.37) and (4.39) we obtain:

$$\int_{\pi_{j,j-1}^{-1}(Q)} (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \cdot \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) \, d\mu_j = 0. \tag{4.40}$$

The second case is when $Q \in \mathrm{Subdiv}(X_{j-1})$; here Q just gets isometrically lifted in X_j and by construction $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ is harmonic in its interior while $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ and $\mathrm{G}_j(f)$ agree on ∂Q. Using again the integration by parts argument and the smoothing of $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ via ϕ_ε we conclude that:

$$\int_{Q} (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f)) \cdot \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) \, d\mu_j = 0. \tag{4.41}$$

Combining (4.40) and (4.41) (4.33) follows.

We now show that:

$$\int_{X_j} \nabla(\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}) \cdot (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla(\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1})) \, d\mu_j = 0. \tag{4.42}$$

Let $Q \in \mathrm{Cell}(X_{j-1})$ and consider any lift $\tilde{Q}$ of Q in X_j (if $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{j-1})$ there are two such lifts, a green and a red one, otherwise there is just one). Now $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ and $\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}$ agree on $\partial\tilde{Q} = \partial Q$; let ϕ be a smooth harmonic function defined on $\tilde{Q}$ that can be extended to be smooth on a neighborhood of $\tilde{Q}$. By Lemma 4.23 $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ and $\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}$ are in $W^{1,2}(X_j, \mu_j)$ and thus we can integrate by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.43)\qquad &\int_{\tilde{Q}} \nabla\phi \cdot (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla(\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}))\, d\mathcal{L}^3 \\ &\qquad = \int_{\partial\tilde{Q}} (\mathrm{H}_j(f) - \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}) \partial_\nu \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &\qquad\qquad - \int_{\tilde{Q}} (\mathrm{H}_j(f) - \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}) \Delta\phi \, d\mathcal{L}^3 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Now enlarge $\tilde{Q}$ to a slightly larger cube $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ contained in the (6ε)-neighborhood of $\tilde{Q}$. We then choose $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon : \partial\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ to be 1-Lipschitz on $\partial\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ and such that the graphs of $f|\partial\tilde{Q}$ and $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon$ are at Hausdorff distance $\leq 150\varepsilon$. Let ϕ_ε be the harmonic function on $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ which equals $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon$ on $\partial\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$. By the barrier estimate (4.29) and the maximum principle $\phi_\varepsilon \to \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}$ uniformly on $\tilde{Q}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ (here in $\tilde{Q}$ we also include its boundary). Moreover, as the boundary conditions are 1-Lipschitz, the family $\{\nabla\phi_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ is bounded in $L^2(\tilde{Q}, \mathcal{L}^3)$ and we conclude that $\nabla\phi_\varepsilon$ converges weakly to $\nabla \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}$ in $L^2(\tilde{Q}, \mathcal{L}^3)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Thus, as each ϕ_ε satisfies (4.43), we conclude that:

$$(4.44)\qquad \int_{\tilde{Q}} (\nabla \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}) \cdot (\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla(\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f) \circ \pi_{j,j-1}))\, d\mathcal{L}^3 = 0.$$

Minding that μ_j is a constant multiple of Lebesgue measure on $\pi_{j,j-1}^{-1}(Q)$ for $Q \in \mathrm{Subdiv}(X_{j-1})$ and splitting μ_{j-1} in half on the two lifts of $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{j-1})$ we get that (4.42) follows from (4.44).

`Step 2: The telescopic series.`

For $\psi : X_j \to \mathbb{R}$ define:

$$(4.45)\qquad E[\psi] = \int_{X_j} \|\nabla\psi\|^2 \, d\mu_j.$$

Consider the telescopic series:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.46)\qquad &\sum_{j=\bar{n}_1+1}^{\infty} \big\{E[\mathrm{G}_j(f)] - E[\mathrm{H}_j(f)] + E[\mathrm{H}_j(f)] - E[\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f)]\big\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \big(E[\mathrm{G}_n(f)] - E[\mathrm{G}_{\bar{n}_1}(f)]\big) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \leq (\mathbf{L}(f))^2.\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(4.47)\qquad E[\mathrm{G}_j(f)] = \int_{X_j} \|\nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) + \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f)\|^2 \, d\mu_j$$

and using the orthogonality relation (4.33) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.48)\qquad E[\mathrm{G}_j(f)] &= E[\mathrm{H}_j(f)] + \int_{X_j} \|\nabla \mathrm{G}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f)\|_2^2 \, d\mu_j \\ &\geq E[\mathrm{H}_j(f)].\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the orthogonality relation (4.42) and that $E[\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f)] = E[\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f)\circ \pi_{j,j-1}]$ we get:

$$E[\mathrm{H}_j(f)] - E[\mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f)] = \int_{X_j} \|\nabla \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f)\circ \pi_{j,j-1}\|_2^2 \, d\mu_j. \tag{4.49}$$

Therefore from (4.46) we have:

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} \sum_{l=\bar{n}_k+1}^{\bar{n}_{k+1}} \sum_{Q\in \mathrm{Cell}(X_{l-1})} \mu_{l-1}(Q) \fint_{\pi_{l,l-1}^{-1}(Q)} \|\nabla \mathrm{H}_l(f) - \nabla \mathrm{G}_{l-1}(f)\circ \pi_{l,l-1}\|_2^2 \, d\mu_l \leq (\mathbf{L}(f))^2. \tag{4.50}$$

`Step 3: Application of Lemma 4.6.`

Assume that $Q \in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})$ and as in `Step 1` write $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_{k+1}}(Q) = A_{\mathrm{green}} \cup A_{\mathrm{red}} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$. Let $F = \mathrm{H}_j(f) - \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f)\circ \pi_{j,j-1}$, which is locally Lipschitz in the interior of $A_{\mathrm{green}} \cup A_{\mathrm{red}}$ and such that $F = 0$ on ∂Q. As Q is bad:

$$\left| \fint_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}} f \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \fint_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}} f \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{256 \times n_{k+1}} \operatorname{diam}(Q); \tag{4.51}$$

however, $\int_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}} \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f)\circ \pi_{j,j-1} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{G}_{j-1}(f)\circ \pi_{j,j-1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_j(f) = f$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$, and so at least one of the following two must hold

$$\left| \fint_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}} F \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{512 \times n_{k+1}} \operatorname{diam}(Q) \tag{4.52}$$

$$\left| \fint_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}} F \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{512 \times n_{k+1}} \operatorname{diam}(Q). \tag{4.53}$$

Without loss of generality assume that (4.52) holds and apply Lemma 4.6 to $Q_{\mathrm{green}} = A_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}$ with $\eta = \frac{\varepsilon}{512\times n_{k+1}} \operatorname{diam}(Q)$ and $s \simeq \operatorname{diam}(Q)/n_{k+1}$ ($\simeq$ implies a uniform constant). We thus have:

$$\int_{A_{\mathrm{green}}} \|\nabla F\|^2 \, d\mathcal{L}^3 \geq \frac{c\varepsilon^2}{n_{k+1}^3} (\operatorname{diam} Q)^3 \tag{4.54}$$

where c is a universal constant independent of ε, k and l. As μ_l is doubling and a constant multiple of Lebesgue measure on each cell of X_l, we can deflate c to get:

$$\fint_{\pi_{l,l-1}^{-1}(Q)} \|\nabla F\|_2^2 \, d\mu_l \geq \frac{c\varepsilon^2}{n_{k+1}^3}. \tag{4.55}$$

Plugging (4.55) in (4.50) finishes the proof. □

4.2. **The proof of differentiability.**

Theorem 4.56 (Differentiation of real-valued Lipschitz functions)**.** *Let $f : X_\infty \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz and ∇f its horizontal gradient. Then f is differentiabe μ_∞-a.e. with derivative ∇f: i.e. for μ_∞-a.e. p one has:*

$$\operatorname{Lip}\big(f - \langle \nabla f(p), \vec{x}\rangle\big)(p) = 0. \tag{4.57}$$

Proof. `Step 1: Reduction to a constant derivative.` Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and write $X_\infty = \Omega \cup \bigcup_{t=1}^\infty K_t$ where:

(1) $\mu_\infty(\Omega) = 0$.

(2) Each K_t is compact with $\mu_\infty(K_t) > 0$ and there is a $c_t \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that:

$$\sup_{p\in K_t} \|\nabla f(p) - c_t\|_2 \leq \varepsilon. \tag{4.58}$$

Fix one index t and drop it from the notation; let $F = f - \langle c, \vec{x}\rangle$, which is $(5\mathbf{L}(f))$-Lipschitz. Assume that we are able to find a universal $C > 0$ independent of $K = K_t$ and ε such that whenever $S \subset K$ is compact with $\mu_\infty(S) > 0$ one can find $\tilde{S} \subset S$ with $\mu_\infty(\tilde{S}) > 0$ and

$$\mathrm{Lip} F \leq C\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \tilde{S}. \tag{4.59}$$

Then an exhaustion argument and letting $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ will yield (4.57).

`Step 2: Avoiding bad gates.`

Fix $S \subset K$ with $\mu_\infty(S) > 0$, our goal being to prove (4.59), which is to be accomplished in `Step 6`. Given $Q \in \mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{l-1})$ let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q)$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)$be the corresponding pair of gates and $G_\varepsilon(Q)$ denote the $\frac{600\,\mathrm{slen}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q))}{\varepsilon}$-neighborhood of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q) \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)$. By Theorem 4.30 we have:

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} \sum_{l=\bar{n}_k+1}^{\bar{n}_{k+1}} \sum_{Q\in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})} \mu_l(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q) \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)) < \infty; \tag{4.60}$$

as the μ_l are uniformly doubling (Lemma 3.36) we also have:

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} \sum_{l=\bar{n}_k+1}^{\bar{n}_{k+1}} \sum_{Q\in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})} \mu_l(G_\varepsilon(Q)) < \infty; \tag{4.61}$$

in particular, we can find a $k_0 = k_0(F, S, \varepsilon)$ such that:

$$\sum_{k\geq k_0} \sum_{l=\bar{n}_k+1}^{\bar{n}_{k+1}} \sum_{Q\in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})} \mu_l(G_\varepsilon(Q)) \leq \frac{\mu_\infty(S)}{5}. \tag{4.62}$$

Let:

$$X_{\mathrm{bad}} = \bigcup_{k\geq k_0} \bigcup_{l=\bar{n}_k+1}^{\bar{n}_{k+1}} \bigcup_{Q\in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})} \pi_{\infty,l}^{-1}(G_\varepsilon(Q)) \tag{4.63}$$

and note that $\mu_\infty(S \setminus X_{\mathrm{bad}}) > 0$; thus let $\tilde{S} \subset S$ consist of those Lebesgue density points of $S \setminus X_{\mathrm{bad}}$ which are also approximate continuity points of ∇f and hence of ∇F.

Pick $p \in \tilde{S}$ and for $r > 0$ let $\mathrm{Fund}(p, \varepsilon, r)$ be a fundamental configuration at p at scale r and resolution ε. To each $q \in \mathrm{Fund}(p, \varepsilon, r)$ associate a horizontal path (possibly with one jump) γ_q as in Lemma 3.50. We say that q is **bad** if:

(1) γ_q is of the form $\mathrm{jph} = (\mathrm{ph}_-, \mathrm{jp}, \mathrm{ph}_+)$ with $\mathrm{Gates}(\mathrm{jp}) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q) \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)$ for $Q \in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})$ where $l \in \{\bar{n}_k + 1, \cdots, \bar{n}_{k+1}\}$.
(2) Letting $\mathrm{jp} = (c_1, c_2)$ either $k < k_0$ or $d_{X_\infty}(c_1, c_2) > \varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q)$.

We now argue that we can find $r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $r \leq r_0$ then there is *no* such bad q. For one thing:

$$d_{X_\infty}(p, q) \geq d_{X_\infty}(c_1, c_2) \simeq \frac{\mathrm{slen}(X_{l-1})}{n_{k+1}}, \tag{4.64}$$

thus choosing r_0 sufficiently small we can guarantee $k \geq k_0$. Secondly,

$$d_{X_\infty}(c_1, c_2) > \varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q) \tag{4.65}$$

would imply $p \in G_\varepsilon(Q)$ contradicting the definition of $\tilde{S}$. In the following let $r \leq r_0$, pick any $q \in \mathrm{Fund}(p, \varepsilon, r)$ and let $\gamma = \gamma_q$.

`Step 3:` γ `is a horizontal path.`

Let $\gamma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots, \sigma_s)$ and let $\mathrm{dom}\,\sigma_i$ denote the domain of σ_i; let $\sigma_i(\mathrm{end})$ and $\sigma_i(\mathrm{sta})$ denote the end and the starting point of σ_i.

As p is an approximate continuity point of ∇F, we can find $r_1 = r_1(\varepsilon) \leq r_0$ such that for each σ_i with $\mathrm{len}(\sigma_i) \geq \varepsilon^3 r/400$ there is another horizontal segment $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ satisfying:

(1) $\pi_{\infty,0} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_i$ and $\pi_{\infty,0} \circ \sigma_i$ are parallel to the same axis.

(2) $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ has the same domain as σ_i and:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{X_\infty}(\tilde{\sigma}_i(\mathrm{sta}), \sigma_i(\mathrm{sta})) &\leq 3\varepsilon^3 r \\ d_{X_\infty}(\tilde{\sigma}_i(\mathrm{end}), \sigma_i(\mathrm{end})) &\leq 3\varepsilon^3 r \\ \mathrm{len}(\tilde{\sigma}_i) &= \mathrm{len}(\sigma_i). \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

(3) $\int_{\mathrm{dom}\,\tilde{\sigma}_i} \|\nabla F\|_2 \circ \tilde{\sigma}_i \, d\mathcal{L}^1 \leq 2\varepsilon\,\mathrm{len}(\tilde{\sigma}_i)$.

Then:

(4.67)

$$\begin{aligned} |F(p) - F(q)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \big|F(\sigma_i(\mathrm{end})) - F(\sigma_i(\mathrm{sta}))\big| \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma_i : \mathrm{len}(\sigma_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^3 r}{400}} \big\{ \big|F(\sigma_i(\mathrm{end})) - F(\tilde{\sigma}_i(\mathrm{end}))\big| + \big|F(\tilde{\sigma}_i(\mathrm{end})) - F(\tilde{\sigma}_i(\mathrm{sta}))\big| \\ &\quad + \big|F(\sigma_i(\tilde{\mathrm{sta}})) - F(\sigma_i(\mathrm{sta}))\big| \big\} + \sum_{\sigma_i : \mathrm{len}(\sigma_i) < \frac{\varepsilon^3 r}{400}} \big|F(\sigma_i(\mathrm{sta})) - F(\sigma_i(\mathrm{end}))\big| \\ &\leq (5\mathbf{L}(f)) \times (6\varepsilon^3 r) \times \#\big\{\sigma_i : \mathrm{len}(\sigma_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^3 r}{400}\big\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma_i : \mathrm{len}(\sigma_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^3 r}{400}} \int_{\mathrm{dom}\,\tilde{\sigma}_i} \|\nabla F\|_2 \circ \tilde{\sigma}_i \, d\mathcal{L}^1 + \frac{\varepsilon^3 r}{400} \times (5\mathbf{L}(f)) \times \#\big\{\sigma_i : \mathrm{len}(\sigma_i) < \frac{\varepsilon^3 r}{400}\big\} \\ &\leq 40\varepsilon^3 r \times \mathbf{L}(f) + 2C\varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

where C is the constant from **(Gd3)** in Lemma 3.50; as $d_{X_\infty}(p, q) \geq \varepsilon^2 r$ we finally get:

$$|F(p) - F(q)| \leq (40 \times \mathbf{L}(f) + 2C)\varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q). \tag{4.68}$$

`Step 4:` γ `has a bad jump.`

Let $\gamma = (\mathrm{ph}_-, \mathrm{jp}, \mathrm{ph}_+)$ where $\mathrm{ph}_- = (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_s)$, $\mathrm{ph}_+ = (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_t)$ and $\mathrm{Gates}(\mathrm{jp}) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q) \cup \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)$ for $Q \in \mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})$. Let $\mathrm{jp} = (c_1, c_2)$; on ph_- and ph_+ we can estimate as in `Step 3` while by `Step 2` we get:

$$d_{X_\infty}(c_1, c_2) \leq \varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q) \tag{4.69}$$

as q cannot be bad for $r \leq r_0$. Thus:

$$|F(p) - F(q)| \leq (2C + 45\mathbf{L}(f))\varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q). \tag{4.70}$$

`Step 5:` γ `has a good jump.`

In this case $\mathrm{jp} = (c_{\mathrm{green}}, c_{\mathrm{red}})$ and:

$$\left| \fint_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q)} F \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \fint_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)} F \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| \leq \varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(c_{\mathrm{green}}, c_{\mathrm{red}}); \tag{4.71}$$

without loss of generality we may assume that ph_- ends at c_{green} and ph_+ starts at c_{red}; moreover, recall that $d_{X_\infty}(c_{\mathrm{green}}, c_{\mathrm{red}}) \leq d_{X_\infty}(p, q)$. As F is real-valued and continuous, we can find by the Intermediate Value Theorem (this is essentially the point were this argument breaks down for l^2-valued maps) $z_{\mathrm{green}} \in \partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q)$ and $z_{\mathrm{red}} \in \partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \fint_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q)} F \, d\mathcal{H}^2 &= z_{\mathrm{green}}, \\ \fint_{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)} F \, d\mathcal{H}^2 &= z_{\mathrm{red}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.72}$$

Now as in `Step 1` of Lemma 3.50 we may find horizontal paths $\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{green}}$ and $\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{red}}$ such that:

(1) $\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{green}}$ joins c_{green} to z_{green}, $\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{red}}$ joins z_{red} to c_{red}.
(2) $\mathrm{len}(\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{green}}) + \mathrm{len}(\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{red}}) \leq 64 d_{X_\infty}(c_{\mathrm{green}}, c_{\mathrm{red}})$.
(3) $\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{green}} \cup \mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{red}}$ contains at most 6 horizontal segments and, trivially, at most 6 of them can have length $\leq \varepsilon^3 r/10$.

We can then estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} |F(p) - F(q)| \leq\ & |F(p) - F(c_{\mathrm{green}})| + |F(c_{\mathrm{green}}) - F(z_{\mathrm{green}})| \\ & + |F(z_{\mathrm{green}}) - F(z_{\mathrm{red}})| + |F(z_{\mathrm{red}}) - F(c_{\mathrm{red}})| \\ & + |F(c_{\mathrm{red}}) - F(q)|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.73}$$

On $|F(p) - F(c_{\mathrm{green}})|$, $|F(c_{\mathrm{green}}) - F(z_{\mathrm{green}})|$, $|F(z_{\mathrm{red}}) - F(c_{\mathrm{red}})|$, $|F(c_{\mathrm{red}}) - F(q)|$ we apply the argument of `Step 3` to ph_-, $\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{green}}$, $\mathrm{ph}_{\mathrm{red}}$ and ph_+. For $|F(z_{\mathrm{green}}) - F(z_{\mathrm{red}})|$ as the jump is not bad, i.e. (4.71):

$$|F(z_{\mathrm{green}}) - F(z_{\mathrm{red}})| \leq \varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q). \tag{4.74}$$

Thus:

$$|F(p) - F(q)| \leq (800\mathbf{L}(f) + 2C + 8)\varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q). \tag{4.75}$$

`Step 6: The proof of (4.59).`

By `Steps 3--5` (i.e. by (4.68), (4.70) and (4.75)) we have:

$$\sup_{q \in \mathrm{Fund}(p, \varepsilon, r)} |F(p) - F(q)| \leq (800\mathbf{L}(f) + 2C + 8)\varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q). \tag{4.76}$$

Let $\tilde{q} \in B_r(X_\infty, p)$ and find $q \in \mathrm{Fund}(p, \varepsilon, r)$ with $d_{X_\infty}(q, \tilde{q}) \leq 5\varepsilon r$. Then:

$$|F(p) - F(\tilde{q})| \leq (800\mathbf{L}(f) + 2C + 8)\varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(p, q) + 25\varepsilon \mathbf{L}(f) r; \tag{4.77}$$

thus (4.59) holds for a universal constant C independent of ε and S. □

5. Differentiability of Hilbert-valued Lipschitz maps

For an l^2-valued Lipschitz $f : X_\infty \to l^2$ the argument is more technical. First recall that l^2 has the Radon-Nikodym property: any Lipschitz $G : \mathbb{R}^n \to l^2$ is differentiabile $\mathcal{L}^n$-a.e., here n being arbitrary. Thus as in Definition 3.41 we can construct the horizontal gradient of ∇f. As in Definition 4.20 and minding the discussion on l^2-valued harmonic functions in 2.3 we can construct the piecewise

harmonic approximations $\mathrm{H}_j(f)$ and $\mathrm{G}_j(f)$. Now the results of Lemma 4.23 extend to this setting. For the boundary regularity we approximate the boundary conditions with ones that take value in finite-dimensional subspaces of l^2 and use that if ϕ is l^2-valued and harmonic, then $\|\phi\|_2^2$ is subharmonic and so we can apply the maximum principle. For the energy estimates (4.24) we use the Kirszbraum extension theorem on the cells of X_j.

However, `Step 5` in Theorem 4.56 breaks down as we cannot apply the Intermediate Value Theorem to f. To fix it we need to change the definition of a *bad* cube in Theorem 4.30. This leads to the Theorem 5.8 which is proved in the same way (hence the proof is omitted) provided one has a suitable lower bound on the energy as in Lemma 5.1. The proof of this Lemma is a bit more technical than the one 4.6; moreover we get a worse dependence on η and we will have to change the exponent of ε from 2 (in Theorem 4.30) to a 4 (in Theorem 5.8). Finally we are able to prove Theorem 5.11, where we have just to fix `Step 5` in Theorem 4.56.

Lemma 5.1 (Lower bound on the energy)**.** *Let Q be a cube with sidelength* $\mathrm{slen}(Q)$ *and for $s \in (0, \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{6}]$ let sQ denote the cube with the same center as Q and with sidelength s. Assume that $F : \overline{Q \setminus sQ} \to l^2$ is continuous and locally Lispchitz in $Q \setminus sQ$; assume also that the restriction $F|\partial(sQ)$ is Lipschitz, that $F = 0$ on ∂Q and that there is a $p \in \partial(sQ)$ such that:*

$$\|F(p)\|_{l^2} \geq \eta s. \tag{5.2}$$

Then there is a universal constant c_{Har} that depends only on the Lipschitz constant of $F|\partial(sQ)$ such that

$$\int_{Q\setminus sQ} \|\nabla F\|_{l^2}^2 \, d\mathcal{L}^3 \geq c_{\mathrm{Har}} \eta^4 s^3. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. As in `Step 1` of Lemma 4.6 we reduce to the case of concentric balls where $F : B(0, \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2}) \setminus B(0, \frac{s}{2}) \to l^2$, that $F = 0$ for $r = \frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2}$ and that for some $p \in \partial B(0, \frac{s}{2})$ one has $\|F(p)\|_{l^2} \geq \eta s$.

`Step 1: Weighted symmetrization.` Now write $p = \frac{s}{2}\omega_0$ where $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^2$; using that $F|\partial B(0, \frac{s}{2})$ is Lipschitz we can find a $c > 0$ depending only on the Lipschitz constant of $F|\partial B(0, \frac{s}{2})$ such that if $\|\omega - \omega_0\|_2 \leq c\eta$ then:

$$\left\| F\left(\frac{s}{2}\omega\right) \right\|_{l^2} \geq \frac{\eta s}{2}. \tag{5.4}$$

Let φ_η be a smooth probability distribution on $\mathbb{S}^2$ supported in $B_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega_0, c\eta)$ and such that:

$$\varphi_\eta \leq 1000 \frac{c^{-2}\eta^{-2}}{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}; \tag{5.5}$$

define

$$\tilde{F}(r, \omega) = \tilde{F}(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} F(r, \tilde{\omega}) \varphi_\eta(\tilde{\omega}) \, d\mathcal{H}^2(\tilde{\omega}); \tag{5.6}$$

as F is locally Lipschitz in $B(0,\frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2})\setminus B(0,\frac{s}{2})$ so is $\tilde F$. Moreover $\left\|\tilde F(s/2)\right\|_{l^2}\geq \eta s/2$. We now have:

(5.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(0,\frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2})\setminus B(0,\frac{s}{2})}\|\nabla\tilde F(r,\omega)\|_2^2\,r^2drd\omega &= \int_{s/2}^{\mathrm{slen}(Q)/2} r^2\,dr\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}d\omega\left\|\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\partial_r F(r,\tilde\omega)\varphi_\eta(\tilde\omega)\,d\tilde\omega\right\|_{l^2}^2\\ &\leq \int_{s/2}^{\mathrm{slen}(Q)/2} r^2\,dr\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}d\omega\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\|\partial_r F(r,\tilde\omega)\|_{l^2}^2\,\varphi_\eta(\tilde\omega)\,d\tilde\omega\\ &\leq 1000c^{-2}\eta^{-2}\int_{B(0,\frac{\mathrm{slen}(Q)}{2})\setminus B(0,\frac{s}{2})}\|\nabla F(r,\omega)\|_{l^2}^2\;r^2drd\omega.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is now completed as in `Step 3` of Lemma 4.6. □

The following Theorem is proven like Theorem 4.30 using Lemma 5.1 instead of Lemma 4.6.

Theorem 5.8 (Rate of collapse of the gates)**.** *Let $f:X_\infty\to l^2$ be Lipschitz and $Q\in\mathrm{ToDouble}(X_{l-1})$ where $\bar n_k+1\leq l\leq\bar n_{k+1}$, and let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$ be the two gates of $\mathrm{Dym}_{n_{k+1}}(Q)$. Having fixed $\varepsilon>0$ we say that Q is **bad** and write $Q\in\mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})$ if for a pair $y_{\mathrm{green}}\in\partial\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}$, $y_{\mathrm{red}}\in\partial\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}$ with $\pi_{l,l-1}(y_{\mathrm{green}})=\pi_{l,l-1}(y_{\mathrm{red}})$ one has:*

$$\|f(y_{\mathrm{green}})-f(y_{\mathrm{red}})\|_{l^2}\geq\frac{\varepsilon}{256\times n_{k+1}}\,\mathrm{diam}(Q). \tag{5.9}$$

Then there is a univeral constant C, which depends only on the Lipschitz constant of f but not ε, such that we have the following estimate on the measure of the bad cubes:

$$\sum_{k\geq1}\sum_{l=\bar n_k+1}^{\bar n_{k+1}}\sum_{Q\in\mathrm{Bad}(X_{l-1})}\frac{\varepsilon^4}{n_{k+1}^3}\mu_{l-1}(Q)\leq C\times(\mathbf{L}(f))^2. \tag{5.10}$$

Theorem 5.11 (Differentiation of Hilbert-valued Lipschitz functions)**.** *Let $f:X_\infty\to l^2$ be Lipschitz and let ∇f be its horizontal gradient. Then f is differentiable μ_∞-a.e. with derivative ∇f: i.e. for μ_∞-a.e. p one has:*

$$\mathrm{Lip}\big(f-\langle\nabla f(p),\vec{x}\rangle\big)(p)=0. \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 4.56 we have only to modify the argument for `Step 5` as we cannot use the intermediate value theorem. However, as jp is not bad we know that for each pair $z_{\mathrm{green}}\in\partial\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{green}}(Q)$, $z_{\mathrm{red}}\in\partial\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)$ with $\pi_{l,l-1}(z_{\mathrm{green}})=\pi_{l,l-1}(z_{\mathrm{red}})$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(z_{\mathrm{green}})-f(z_{\mathrm{red}})\|_{l^2}&\leq\frac{\varepsilon}{256\times n_{k+1}}\,\mathrm{diam}(Q)\\ &\leq\varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(c_1,c_2)\leq\varepsilon d_{X_\infty}(z_{\mathrm{green}},z_{\mathrm{red}}),\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

and $d_{X_\infty}(z_{\mathrm{green}},z_{\mathrm{red}})\leq 27d_{X_\infty}(c_1,c_2)$. Having chosen such a pair we can then argue as in `Step 5` of Theorem 4.56. □

References

[AK00] Luigi Ambrosio and Bernd Kirchheim. Rectifiable sets in metric and Banach spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 318(3):527–555, 2000.

[Amb90] Luigi Ambrosio. Metric space valued functions of bounded variation. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 17(3):439–478, 1990.

[Bat15] D. Bate. Structure of measures in Lipschitz differentiability spaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(2):421–482, 2015.

[BBI01] Dmitri Burago, Yuri Burago, and Sergei Ivanov. *A course in metric geometry*, volume 33 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[BL15] D. Bate and S. Li. The geometry of Radon-Nikodym Lipschitz differentiability spaces. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2015.

[BS13] David Bate and Gareth Speight. Differentiability, porosity and doubling in metric measure spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141(3):971–985, 2013.

[Che99] J. Cheeger. Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9(3):428–517, 1999.

[Che12] Jeff Cheeger. Quantitative differentiation: a general formulation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 65(12):1641–1670, 2012.

[Cho58] J. R. Choksi. Inverse limits of measure spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 8:321–342, 1958.

[CK09] Jeff Cheeger and Bruce Kleiner. Differentiability of Lipschitz maps from metric measure spaces to Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19(4):1017–1028, 2009.

[CK13a] J. Cheeger and B. Kleiner. Inverse limit spaces satisfying a Poincare inequality. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2013.

[CK13b] Jeff Cheeger and Bruce Kleiner. Realization of metric spaces as inverse limits, and bilipschitz embedding in L_1. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 23(1):96–133, 2013.

[CKS16] Jeff Cheeger, Bruce Kleiner, and Andrea Schioppa. Infinitesimal Structure of Differentiability Spaces, and Metric Differentiation. *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces*, 4:Art. 5, 2016.

[Eri16] S. Eriksson-Bique. Classifying Poincar\'e Inequalities and the local geometry of RNP-Differentiability Spaces. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2016.

[Eva98] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[Fed69] Herbert Federer. *Geometric measure theory*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153. Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969.

[GT01] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.

[Hei07] Juha Heinonen. Nonsmooth calculus. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 44(2):163–232, 2007.

[HK98] Juha Heinonen and Pekka Koskela. Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry. *Acta Math.*, 181(1):1–61, 1998.

[Hun07] J. D. Hunter. Matplotlib: A 2d graphics environment. *Computing In Science & Engineering*, 9(3):90–95, 2007.

[Kei04] Stephen Keith. A differentiable structure for metric measure spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 183(2):271–315, 2004.

[Kir94] Bernd Kirchheim. Rectifiable metric spaces: local structure and regularity of the Hausdorff measure. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 121(1):113–123, 1994.

[KM11] B. Kleiner and J. Mackay. Differentiable structures on metric measure spaces: A Primer. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2011.

[LP01] Urs Lang and Conrad Plaut. Bilipschitz embeddings of metric spaces into space forms. *Geom. Dedicata*, 87(1-3):285–307, 2001.

[LS05] Urs Lang and Thilo Schlichenmaier. Nagata dimension, quasisymmetric embeddings, and Lipschitz extensions. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (58):3625–3655, 2005.

[LS11] James R. Lee and Anastasios Sidiropoulos. Near-optimal distortion bounds for embedding doubling spaces into l1. In *Proceedings of the Forty-third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '11, pages 765–772, New York, NY, USA, 2011. ACM.

[Sch] Andrea Schioppa. Prescribing (high-dimensional) tangents for 1-dimensional pi-spaces (in preparation).

[Sch15] A. Schioppa. The Poincaré Inequality does not improve with blow-up. *ArXiv e-prints*, April 2015.

[Sch16a] Andrea Schioppa. Derivations and Alberti representations. *Adv. Math.*, 293:436–528, 2016.

[Sch16b] Andrea Schioppa. The Lip-lip equality is stable under blow-up. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 55(1):Art. 22, 30, 2016.

[Wea00] Nik Weaver. Lipschitz algebras and derivations. II. Exterior differentiation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 178(1):64–112, 2000.

[Wic09] Hadley Wickham. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2009.